arXiv:1412.7158v1 [math.FA] 22 Dec 2014

RESOLUTION OF THE WAVEFRONT SET USING GENERAL CONTINUOUS WAVELET TRANSFORMS

JONATHAN FELL, HARTMUT FÜHR, FELIX VOIGTLAENDER

Abstract. We consider the problem of characterizing the wavefront set of a tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in terms of its continuous wavelet transform, where the latter is defined with respect to a suitably chosen dilation group $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In this paper we develop a comprehensive and unified approach that allows to establish characterizations of the wavefront set in terms of rapid coefficient decay, for a large variety of dilation groups.

For this purpose, we introduce two technical conditions on the dual action of the group H, called microlocal admissibilty and (weak) cone approximation property. Essentially, microlocal admissibilty sets up a systematical relationship between the scales in a wavelet dilated by $h \in H$ on one side, and the matrix norm of h on the other side. The (weak) cone approximation property describes the ability of the wavelet system to adapt its frequency-side localization to arbitrary frequency cones. Together, microlocal admissibility and the weak cone approximation property allow the characterization of points in the wavefront set using multiple wavelets. Replacing the weak cone approximation by its stronger counterpart gives access to single wavelet characterizations.

We illustrate the scope of our results by discussing – in any dimension $d \geq 2$ – the similitude, diagonal and shearlet dilation groups, for which we verify the pertinent conditions. As a result, similitude and diagonal groups can be employed for multiple wavelet characterizations, whereas for the shearlet groups a single wavelet suffices. In particular, the shearlet characterization (previously only established for $d = 2$) holds in arbitrary dimensions.

Keywords: wavefront set; square-integrable group representation; continuous wavelet transform; anisotropic wavelet systems; shearlets
AMS Subject Classification: 42C15; 42C40; 46F12

1. Introduction

1.1. **Regular directed points and the wavefront set.** The wavefront set was introduced by Hörmander in [19] as a means of analyzing mapping properties of Fourier integral operators. This set can be understood as a particular model for singularities in an otherwise regular object (e.g., edges in images), see the discussion in [3, 20]. The ability to resolve the wavefront set (i.e., to characterize this set via coefficient decay) has become somewhat of a benchmark problem for generalized wavelet systems and related constructions in dimensions two and higher.

Before we give precise definitions, let us introduce some notation. Given $R > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $B_R(x)$ and $\overline{B_R}(x)$ denote the open/closed ball with radius R and center x, respectively. We let $S^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the unit sphere. By a neighborhood of $\xi \in S^{d-1}$, we will always mean a *relatively open* set $W \subset S^{d-1}$ with $\xi \in W$. Given $R > 0$ and an open set $W \subset S^{d-1}$, we let

$$C(W) := \left\{ r\xi' \,\middle|\, \xi' \in W,\, r > 0 \right\} = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \,\middle|\, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in W \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad C(W, R) := C(W) \setminus \overline{B_R}(0).$$

Both sets are clearly open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and thus of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Given a tempered distribution u, we call $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$ a **regular directed point of** u if there exists $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, identically one in a neighborhood of x, as well as a ξ-neighborhood

$W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_N > 0$ with

$$\forall \xi' \in C(W) \ : \ \left|\widehat{\varphi u}(\xi')\right| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi'|)^{-N}. \tag{1.1}$$

A simple observation, which will nonetheless be important for the following, is that this decay condition effectively only concerns the behaviour at large frequencies: Since φu is a compactly supported distribution, its Fourier transform is a continuous (even smooth) function [25, Theorem 7.23], and thus we may replace $C(W)$ in equation (1.1) by $C(W, R)$ for any $R > 0$.

Informally speaking, regular directed points describe oriented local regularity behaviour of a tempered distribution: If (x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u, then u can be considered smooth at x, when viewed in direction ξ. We define the **wavefront set** of u as the set of points (x, ξ) which are not regular directed points of u. The results in our paper will all be stated as criteria for regular directed points.

1.2. **Continuous wavelet transforms in higher dimensions.** From the outset, the continuous wavelet transform in dimension one has been understood as the ideal tool to analyze local regularity of functions, see e.g. [18] for an extensive discussion. In higher dimensions, there are increasingly many possible generalizations of the continuous wavelet transform available, and it is currently not well-understood (except for isolated examples such as the shearlet group [20, 16] and the similitude group [24]) how these different transforms fare at resolving the wavefront set. It is the chief purpose of this paper to develop criteria that allow to tackle this question in a unified and comprehensive approach.

Before we give a more detailed description of the aims of this paper, let us introduce the necessary notions pertaining to continuous wavelet transforms in some detail. We fix a closed matrix group $H < \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$, the so-called **dilation group**, and let $G = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes H$. This is the group of affine mappings generated by H and all translations. Elements of G are denoted by pairs $(x, h) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times H$, and the product of two group elements is given by $(x, h)(y, g) = (x + hy, hg)$. The left Haar measure of G is given by $\mathrm{d}(x, h) = |\det(h)|^{-1} \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}h$.

G acts unitarily on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the **quasi-regular representation** defined by

$$[\pi(x, h) f](y) = |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot f\left(h^{-1}(y - x)\right) \ . \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, π induces an action of G on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of Schwartz functions.

We write $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) \to \mathbb{C}$ for the natural extension of the L^2-scalar product, which means $\langle u \mid \psi \rangle := u\left(\overline{\psi}\right) = \left\langle u, \overline{\psi} \right\rangle$. For future reference, let us observe that a straightforward calculation yields

$$\left[\mathcal{F}\left(\pi(x, h) f\right)\right](\xi) = |\det(h)|^{1/2} \cdot e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \cdot \widehat{f}\left(h^T \xi\right) \tag{1.3}$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) + \mathrm{L}^2\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$. Here, as in the remainder of the paper, we use the convention

$$\mathcal{F} f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \cdot e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for the Fourier transform of $f \in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$.

Now, given a tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ and some $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the **wavelet transform** of u with respect to ψ by

$$W_\psi u : G \to \mathbb{C} \ , \ (x, h) \mapsto \langle u \mid \pi(x, h) \psi \rangle.$$

1.3. **Characterizing regular directed points by wavelet transform decay.** The basic principle of local regularity analysis via wavelets in dimension one is that *smoothness of a function f near x is equivalent to decay of wavelet coefficients $W_\psi f(y,s)$ for y near x and small scales s.* It is the aim of this paper to extend this principle to directional smoothness, by providing criteria for regular directed points. The result should be an oriented version of the above-mentioned principle: *Smoothness of a function f near x in direction ξ is equivalent to decay of wavelet coefficients $W_\psi f(y,h)$ for y near x, for small-scale wavelets $\pi(y,h)\psi$ oscillating in directions near ξ.*

More specifically, given a continuous wavelet transform W_ψ based on a suitable choice of dilation group H and wavelet ψ, we wish to establish results of the following form:

$$(1.4)\quad (x,\xi) \text{ is a regular directed point of } u \;\Leftrightarrow\; \exists \text{ neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\, \forall y \in U \\ \forall h \in K\, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \;: |W_\psi u(y,h)| \le C_N \|h\|^N \;,$$

where $K \subset H$ is a suitable subset of dilations which explicitly depends on ψ and a certain (sufficiently small) cone containing directions near ξ. Intuitively, K contains those $h \in H$ such that $\pi(0,h)\psi$ is a small-scale wavelet oscillating in direction ξ.

A less ambitious approach allows *multiple wavelets* for the characterization of regular directed points, i.e., we ask whether, for a suitable family $(\psi_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of wavelets, the following characterization is available:

$$(1.5)\quad (x,\xi) \text{ is a regular directed point of } u \;\Leftrightarrow\; \exists \lambda \in \Lambda\, \exists \text{ neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\, \forall y \in U \\ \forall h \in K\, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \;: |W_{\psi_\lambda} u(y,h)| \le C_N \|h\|^N \;,$$

again with $K \subset H$ depending explicitly on ψ_λ and a suitable choice of frequency cone.

The literature contains examples related to both types of characterizations: [20, Theorem 5.1] is a single wavelet characterization of the wavefront set using the shearlet transform, for directions $\xi = (\xi_1,\xi_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$ belonging to the horizontal cone characterized by $\frac{|\xi_1|}{|\xi_2|} < 1$. The remaining directions are then characterized by a second shearlet transform with coordinate axes interchanged. By contrast, [24, Theorem 7] can be understood as a somewhat weaker form of the multiple wavelet characterization (1.5), by describing regular directed points in terms of the decay of $W_{\psi_\lambda}(\phi u)$, with a given family of wavelets ψ_λ and additionally, a freely choosable cutoff function ϕ. Here, the underlying dilation group is the similitude group (in certain dimensions), consisting of rotations combined with scalar dilations.

1.4. **Proof strategy: Understanding the role of the dual action.** In this paper, we will provide a general framework that allows to understand and extend the two mentioned examples, and to formulate sufficient conditions for the characterizations (1.4) and (1.5). For this purpose, we will make systematic use of the **dual action** and its properties. Mathematically speaking, the dual action is just the (right) action $\mathbb{R}^d \times H \ni (\xi,h) \mapsto h^T\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This action plays a decisive role for the study of many questions in connection with general continuous wavelet transforms, e.g. for representation-theoretic questions such as irreducibility and direct integral decompositions [2, 10], in connection with general wavelet inversion formulae [14, 21, 11], or for wavelet coorbit theory [13, 12, 15]. The results in this paper naturally fit into this wider context.

When considering wavefront set characterizations with general dilation groups, several obstacles arise: Most dilation groups (except for the similitude group) do not have a built-in orientation and scale parameter, thus it may be difficult or even impossible to have a meaningful notion of small scale wavelets oscillating in direction ξ, and thus to properly define the set $K \subset H$ in (1.4) and (1.5). The answer to this problem is provided by the dual action: We restrict our attention to bandlimited wavelets, say $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$, with a suitable compact set

V. Then equation (1.3) reveals that the Fourier support of $\pi(y,h)\psi$ is contained in $h^{-T}V$. This simple observation allows to assign direction and scale to wavelets indexed by group elements $h \in H$. We start with the direction part: Given a frequency cone $C(W,R) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we introduce certain cone-affiliated subsets $K_i, K_o \subset H$, with $h \in K_i$ whenever $h^{-T}V \subset C(W,R)$ and $h \in K_o$ whenever $h^{-T}V \cap C(W,R) \neq \varnothing$. Thus $h \in K_i$ or K_o does allow to predict oscillatory behaviour of $\pi(y,h)\psi$ in direction W.

Furthermore, since our targeted wavelet characterizations measure rapid decay in terms of the matrix norm $\|h\|$, we want to be able to interpret this norm as a scale parameter for $\pi(y,h)\psi$. The condition of *microlocal admissibility* of the dual action is tailored to establish a systematic relationship between the matrix norm $\|h\|$ and the frequencies in the support of $(\pi(y,h)\psi)^\wedge$, and thus ultimately permits a meaningful interpretation of the matrix norm $\|h\|$ as the scale of $\pi(y,h)\psi$. These notions combined will then allow to understand $\pi(y,h)\psi$, for $h \in K_i$ or K_o, as a wavelet near y of scale proportionate to $\|h\| \preceq R^{-\alpha}$ (for some positive α) and oscillating in the directions contained in W.

Besides these interpretation issues for elements of H, there is a second challenge related to the study of wavelet criteria for wavefront sets, which particularly concerns the question whether a single wavelet suffices to characterize the wavefront set. Recall that the definition of regular directed points involves two types of localization: Localization in location, as expressed by the possibility to choose arbitrary cutoff functions φ, as well as localization with respect to directions, as expressed by the choice of the frequency cone $C(W,R)$. It is fairly easy to see that wavelet transforms can adapt to the first kind, in particular when using a notion of scale that is related to the matrix norm. The second type of localization however is more subtle: In order to adapt to arbitrarily small cone apertures (corresponding to the set W), the wavelet system must be able to make increasingly fine distinctions between orientations, at least as the scales go to zero (i.e., as $R \to \infty$). It was observed in [3] that the classical tensor wavelet system associated to a multiresolution analysis does not possess this feature: The angular resolution does not change over scales, and hence the wavelet system is not able to resolve the wavefront set.

Consequently, [3] introduced curvelets as an alternative to wavelets, with improved angular resolution: The curvelet system is indexed by a family of circularly equidistant angle and logarithmically equidistant scale parameters, with the number of angles doubling at every other scale[1], and this feature does allow to characterize regular directed points via the decay of curvelet coefficients. It should be noted that curvelets do not fit into the scheme presented here, since they are not based on the action of a dilation group; they are however somewhat closely related to shearlets [20], which do arise in the manner sketched above from the action of the so-called shearlet-dilation group (as noted later in [5]). The central insight of [20] was that shearlets show a similar frequency-side behaviour as curvelets, and as a result, they also characterize the wavefront set, at least for directions in the horizontal cone.

Other groups, such as the similitude group, generate wavelet systems that have the same angular resolution across all scales, and consequently, their ability to resolve the wavefront set is limited. However, by switching the wavelets, if necessary, it is possible to attain arbitrary angular resolution. Thus the similitude group lends itself to a multiple wavelet characterization of regular directed points.

In the setting of general continuous wavelet transforms over arbitrary dilation groups, we therefore need a mathematical description of phenomena like increasing frequency resolution for large scales. In view of the fact that the curvelet system was described primarily by the frequency localization of the different curvelets, it is not surprising that once again the dual

[1]As pointed out in [3], the decomposition of frequencies that underlies curvelets, was introduced earlier in [26], where it is called *second dyadic decomposition*.

action proves useful; in particular, the sets K_i and K_o defined above naturally enter in this context. It turns out that there are precise and workable conditions available, formulated in terms of inclusion properties of the cone-affiliated sets K_o and K_i from above (related to varying parameters W, R and V), which allow such an assessment. Here, the pertinent notions are the *cone approximation property* introduced in Section 4, and a somewhat weaker version. Together with microlocal admissibility, the cone approximation property allows to establish single wavelet characterizations, whereas the weak cone approximation property provides multiple wavelet characterizations.

1.5. **Overview of the paper.** The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 contains a discussion of the various conditions we impose on the dual action that allow to meaningfully assign scale and direction to a dilated wavelet. We introduce the cone-affiliated subsets K_i and K_o, and study their basic properties, as well as the notion of microlocal admissibility of the action. Section 3 contains the central technical result of this paper: Theorem 3.5 relates the property that (x, ξ) is a regular directed point to the decay of wavelet coefficients near x and dilations in certain cone-affiliated sets K_i, K_o. This result is not quite a characterization, as it concludes the decay for the set K_i, and requires it for the larger set K_o. In order to close this gap, Section 4 introduces the cone approximation properties, which then enable us to formulate and prove (single- or multiple) wavelet characterizations in Theorem 4.6. For the single orbit case (equivalently: whenever π is irreducible), the characterization results are particularly satisfactory, see Corollary 4.8. An alternative – more geometric – description of the (weak) cone-approximation property is then shortly discussed in Section 5.

Finally, in Section 6, we demonstrate that microlocal admissibility and (weak) cone approximation property are actually verifiable for many concrete and interesting cases. Specifically, in each dimension $d \geq 2$ we consider the diagonal, similitude and shearlet groups, and show that there are multiple wavelet characterizations available for the first two groups, and that single wavelet characterizations hold for the shearlet case. This considerably extends the previously known results: The similitude group case was considered in [24], and our results require extra conditions on the wavelets on the one hand, but do not require a local cutoff function. For the shearlet groups, the result was only established for $d = 2$ in [20, 16]. The diagonal case seems to be completely new.

2. Conditions on the dual action

Throughout this paper we will write $V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ to indicate that $V, \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are open sets and that the closure $\overline{V} \subset \mathcal{O}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}$. We will always assume that the dilation group H fulfils the following assumptions, which are mostly connected to (partial) wavelet inversion.

Assumption 2.1. *There exists an open, H^T-invariant subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with the following properties:*

(a) The dual action of H on $\mathcal{O}$ is proper, i.e., for all compact sets $K \subset \mathcal{O}$, the set

$$H_K := \left\{(h, \xi) \in H \times \mathcal{O} \,\middle|\, (h^T\xi, \xi) \in K \times K\right\}$$

is compact.

(b) For each $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$, we have $\mathbb{R}^+\xi \subset \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty)$.

(c) There exists a Schwartz function ψ such that $\widehat{\psi}$ is compactly supported inside $\mathcal{O}$, and in addition, ψ fulfils the ***admissibility condition***

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{O} \;:\; \int_H |\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}h = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

(d) Given $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$, we define

$$H_{\xi,V} = \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^T \xi \in V\right\} = \left(h \mapsto h^T \xi\right)^{-1}(V),$$

which is a relatively compact open set because of $H_{\xi,V} \subset \pi_1\left(H_{\{\xi\} \cup \overline{V}}\right)$, where π_1 is the projection on the first coordinate.

We assume that for each $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$, there are constants $C, \alpha \geq 0$ such that the estimate

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{O} \,:\, \mu_H(H_{\xi,V}) \leq C \cdot (1 + |\xi|)^{\alpha}$$

is fulfilled, where μ_H denotes the left Haar measure on H.

These assumptions may seem somewhat arbitrary and complicated, but they are fulfilled in many relevant cases. In particular, if π is an **(irreducible) square-integrable representation**, then the results in [11, 8] show that the dual action has a single open orbit $\mathcal{O} = \left\{h^T \xi_0 \,\middle|\, h \in H\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of full measure (for some $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{O}$), such that in addition the stabilizer group $H_{\xi_0} = \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^T \xi_0 = \xi_0\right\}$ is compact. In this case, any nonzero ψ with $\widehat{\psi} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ will be admissible, after suitable normalization because the integral in equation (2.1) is invariant under the change $\xi \mapsto g^T \xi$ for $g \in H$ by left-invariance of the Haar measure. But $H^T \xi = \mathcal{O}$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence, the integral in equation (2.1) is constant on $\mathcal{O}$.

Properness of the action follows from compactness of the stabilizer, because a Baire-category argument shows that this implies that the projection $p_{\xi_0} : H \to \mathcal{O}, h \mapsto h^T \xi_0$ is proper.

Part (b) of the assumption follows from the fact that $H^T(r\xi) = r \cdot H^T \xi = r\mathcal{O}$ is an open orbit. But the dual action only has one open orbit, which implies $r\xi \in r\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$. Finally, part (d) of the assumption is taken care of in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{O} = H^T \xi_0$ is an open H-orbit, with associated compact stabilizers. Then*

$$\mu_H(H_{\xi,V}) = \mu_H(H_{\xi_0,V}) < \infty$$

holds for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. Observe that if $\xi = g^T \xi_0$ with $g \in H$, then

$$H_{\xi,V} = \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^T g^T \xi_0 \in V\right\} = \{h \in H \,|\, gh \in H_{\xi_0,V}\} = g^{-1} H_{\xi_0,V},$$

showing that $H_{\xi,V}$ is a left translate of $H_{\xi_0,V}$. Since μ_H is left-invariant and $H_{\xi_0,V}$ is precompact, the claim follows. □

While the irreducible case provides the most satisfying results in this paper, we have chosen to discuss the problem in a somewhat more general setting, for the benefit of further investigations.

We next formally define the sets K_i and K_o which will allow to associate group elements to directions.

Definition 2.3. Let $\varnothing \neq W \subset S^{d-1}$ be open with $W \subset \mathcal{O}$ (which implies $C(W) \subset \mathcal{O}$). Furthermore, let $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ and $R > 0$. We define

$$K_i(W, V, R) := \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^{-T} V \subset C(W, R)\right\}$$

as well as

$$K_o(W, V, R) := \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^{-T} V \cap C(W, R) \neq \varnothing\right\}.$$

If the parameters are provided by the context, we will simply write K_i and K_o. Here, the subscripts i/o stand for "inner/outer".

These two types of sets are the central tool of our analysis. The intuition behind their definition is that K_i contains all dilations h with the property that the wavelets $\pi(y,h)\psi$ only "see" directions in the cone $C(W,R)$. Hence, local regularity in these directions should entail a decay estimate for the wavelet coefficients $(W_\psi u)(y,h)$ with $h \in K_i$. Here, we used the property $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}[\pi(x,h)\psi]) \subset h^{-T}\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset h^{-T}V$ which is immediate from equation (1.3) as long as $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$ holds.

Conversely, K_o contains all those dilations that contribute to the (formal) *wavelet reconstruction*

$$\widehat{\varphi u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_H (W_\psi \varphi u)(y,h) \cdot (\mathcal{F}[\pi(y,h)\psi])(\xi) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

of the frequency content of a (localized) tempered distribution φu, for $\xi \in C(W,R)$, again under the assumption $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$. Thus, decay estimates for wavelet coefficients with dilations in $h \in K_o$ should allow to predict local regularity of u in these directions.

The wavelet criteria that we will establish (cf. Theorem 3.5) and their proofs can be seen as a mathematically rigourous implementation of these ideas.

Remark 2.4. We have $K_i \subset K_o$. Furthermore, $K_o \subset H$ is open and $K_i \subset H$ is a G_δ set. In particular, K_o and K_i are Borel-measurable. Also,

$$K_i^{-T} V \subset C(W,R).$$

In fact, K_i is the largest set fulfilling this inclusion.

Another easy but useful observation is that $W \subset W'$, $V \subset V'$ and $R_1 \geq R_2$ together imply

$$K_o(W,V,R_1) \subset K_o(W',V',R_2),$$

whereas $W \subset W'$, $V \supset V'$ and $R_1 \geq R_2$ together entail

$$K_i(W,V,R_1) \subset K_i(W',V',R_2).$$

Proof. We only prove that K_o is open and that K_i is a G_δ-set. The other properties are easy to verify. First observe that

$$K_o = \bigcup_{\xi \in V} \left(h \mapsto h^{-T}\xi\right)^{-1} (C(W,R))$$

is open, because $C(W,R)$ is open.

Next, note that $V \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, so that $V = \bigcup_\ell K_\ell$ is σ-compact. The definition of K_i easily yields

$$K_i(W,V,R) = \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} K_i(W,K_\ell,R),$$

so that it suffices to show that each set $K_i(W,K_\ell,R)$ is open.

To this end, let $h \in K_i(W,K_\ell,R)$ be arbitrary. This implies that $h^{-T}K_\ell \subset C(W,R)$ is a compact set. As $C(W,R)$ is open, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying $B_\varepsilon\left(h^{-T}K_\ell\right) \subset C(W,R)$. Let $L \subset H$ be an arbitrary compact unit-neighborhood. This implies that

$$L \times h^{-T}K_\ell \to \mathbb{R}^d, (g,\xi) \mapsto g^{-T}\xi$$

is uniformly continuous. In particular,

$$\left|g^{-T}h^{-T}\xi - k^{-T}h^{-T}\xi\right| < \varepsilon$$

holds for all $\xi \in K_\ell$ and all $g,k \in L$ with $\|g-k\| < \delta$ for a suitable $\delta > 0$.

Setting $k = \mathrm{id}$, we derive

$$(gh)^{-T}\xi \in B_\varepsilon\left(h^{-T}\xi\right) \subset B_\varepsilon\left(h^{-T}K_\ell\right) \subset C(W,R)$$

for all $\xi \in K_\ell$ and $g \in L$ with $\|g - \mathrm{id}\| < \delta$. Thus, $(B_\delta(\mathrm{id}) \cap L) \cdot h \subset K_i(W, K_\ell, R)$, which implies that $K_i(W, K_\ell, R)$ is an open subset of H. □

We now come to the central technical assumption concerning the dual action. Given a matrix h, we let $\|h\|$ denote the operator norm of the induced linear map with respect to the euclidean norm.

Definition 2.5. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ and $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$. The dual action is called **V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ** if there exists a ξ-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ and some $R_0 > 0$ such that the following hold:

(a) There exist $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that
$$\|h^{-1}\| \leq C \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1}$$
holds for all $h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$.

(b) There exists $\alpha_2 > 0$ such that
$$\int_{K_o(W_0,V,R_0)} \|h\|^{\alpha_2} \, \mathrm{d}h < \infty.$$

The dual action is called **microlocally admissible in direction ξ** if it is V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ for some $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$. It is called **globally V-microlocally admissible** if there is $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ such that the dual action is V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

We will see in the discussion below that these conditions are indeed fulfilled in a variety of cases.

Remark 2.6. A simple but important consequence of the above-observed inclusion properties for the K_o (in particular the V-dependence, cf. Remark 2.4) is that if the dual action is V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ, it is V'-microlocally admissible in this direction for all open $\varnothing \neq V' \subset V$. One can even choose the same $W_0, R_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and C for all $V' \subset V$.

A similar reasoning allows to see that one may check the existence of W_0, V, R_0 fulfilling conditions (a) and (b) separately, since decreasing W_0 and V as well as increasing R_0 decreases $K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$, hence it preserves the validity of properties (a) and (b) in Definition 2.5.

In the case of a single orbit $\mathcal{O} = H^T \xi_0$, it suffices to check V-microlocal admissibility in only one direction, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that $\mathcal{O} = H^T \xi_0$ is a single open orbit and let $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$.*

If the dual action is V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ_1 for some $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$, then the dual action is globally V-microlocally admissible.

One can even use the same exponents α_1, α_2 (cf. Definition 2.5) for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

Proof. By assumption, there are $R_0 > 0$ and some ξ_1-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ as well as $C, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ such that the conditions in Definition 2.5 are fulfilled.

Observe that $B_1(\xi_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, so that the map
$$\Phi : B_1(\xi_1) \to S^{d-1}, w \mapsto \frac{w}{|w|}$$
is well-defined and continous with $\Phi(\xi_1) = \xi_1$. Hence, $\xi_1 \in \Phi^{-1}(W_0)$, where the latter set is open in $B_1(\xi_1)$. This shows that there is some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ with
$$\frac{w}{|w|} \in W_0 \text{ for all } w \in B_\gamma(\xi_1). \tag{2.2}$$

Now, let $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ be arbitrary. As $\mathcal{O}$ is a single orbit with $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O}$, we get $\xi = h_\xi^T \xi_1$ for some $h_\xi \in H$. Define $R_0' := \|h_\xi\| R_0$ and $W_0' := \left[h_\xi^T \cdot B_\gamma(\xi_1)\right] \cap S^{d-1}$. Observe that W_0' is indeed a neighborhood of $\xi = h_\xi^T \xi_1$. We will now prove

$$K_o\left(W_0', V, R_0'\right) \subset h_\xi^{-1} \cdot K_o(W_0, V, R_0). \tag{2.3}$$

This will entail

$$\|h^{-1}\| = \|(h_\xi h)^{-1} h_\xi\| \leq \|(h_\xi h)^{-1}\| \cdot \|h_\xi\| \overset{(\dagger)}{\leq} C \cdot \|h_\xi\| \cdot \|h_\xi h\|^{-\alpha_1} \overset{(\ddagger)}{\leq} C \cdot \|h_\xi\| \, \|h_\xi^{-1}\|^{\alpha_1} \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1}$$

for all $h \in K_o(W_0', V, R_0')$, which is nothing but part (a) of Definition 2.5 at ξ (with the same exponent α_1). Here, we used $h_\xi h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$ at $(\dagger)$ and $\|h\| = \|h_\xi^{-1} h_\xi h\| \leq \|h_\xi^{-1}\| \cdot \|h_\xi h\|$ at $(\ddagger)$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{K_o\left(W_0', V, R_0'\right)} \|h\|^{\alpha_2} \, \mathrm{d}h &\leq \int_{h_\xi^{-1} \cdot K_o(W_0, V, R_0)} \left\| h_\xi^{-1} \cdot h_\xi h \right\|^{\alpha_2} \, \mathrm{d}h \\
&= \int_{K_o(W_0, V, R_0)} \|h_\xi^{-1} \cdot g\|^{\alpha_2} \, \mathrm{d}g \\
&\leq \|h_\xi^{-1}\|^{\alpha_2} \cdot \int_{K_o(W_0, V, R_0)} \|g\|^{\alpha_2} \, \mathrm{d}g < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

so that part (b) of Definition 2.5 is also satisfied at ξ (with the same exponent α_2).

It remains to prove equation (2.3). To this end, let $h \in K_o(W_0', V, R_0')$ be arbitrary. This yields some $v \in V$ with $h^{-T} v \in C(W_0', R_0')$. Hence, there are $w' \in W_0' \subset h_\xi^T \cdot B_\gamma(\xi_1)$ and $r > 0$ with $h^{-T} v = r \cdot w' = r \cdot h_\xi^T w$ for some $w \in B_\gamma(\xi_1)$. Together with equation (2.2), we see

$$(h_\xi h)^{-T} v = h_\xi^{-T} h^{-T} v = r\,|w| \cdot \frac{w}{|w|} \in r\,|w| \cdot W_0 \subset C(W_0).$$

Finally, $\left|h^{-T} v\right| > R_0' = \|h_\xi\| R_0$ because of $h^{-T} v \in C(W_0', R_0')$. This implies

$$\|h_\xi\| R_0 < \left|h^{-T} v\right| = \left|h_\xi h_\xi^{-T} h^{-T} v\right| \leq \|h_\xi\| \cdot \left|h_\xi^{-T} h^{-T} v\right| = \|h_\xi\| \cdot \left|(h_\xi h)^{-T} v\right|$$

and hence $|(h_\xi h)^{-T} v| > R_0$. In summary, we conclude

$$(h_\xi h)^{-T} v \in (h_\xi h)^{-T} V \cap C(W_0, R_0) \neq \varnothing,$$

which means $h_\xi h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$. Thus, equation (2.3) is established. □

The following lemma provides important intuition for condition (a) of microlocal admissibility of the dual action, by establishing a systematic relationship between the norm of h and the norms of the frequencies contained in the support of $(\pi(y,h)\psi)^\wedge$.

Lemma 2.8. *Assume that the closed group $H \leq \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ satisfies the assumptions 2.1. Then $0 \notin \mathcal{O}$. Furthermore, the following hold:*

(a) Assume that $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$. Then there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(V) > 0$ such that, for all $h \in H$ and all $\xi' \in V$:

$$\left|h^{-T} \xi'\right|^{-1} \leq C_1 \cdot \|h\|.$$

(b) *Assume that the dual action fulfils condition 2.5(a), for some* $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$*, a suitable* ξ*-neighborhood* $W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$ *and some* $R_0 > 0$*.*

Then there exist $\alpha > 0$ *and* $C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$\|h\| \leq C_2 \cdot \left|h^{-T}\xi'\right|^{-\alpha}.$$

holds for all $h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$ *and* $\xi' \in V$*.*

(c) *Assume that the dual action fulfils condition 2.5(a), for some* $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$*, a suitable* ξ*-neighborhood* $W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$ *and some* $R_0 > 0$*.*

Then for all ξ*-neighborhoods* $W \subset W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$ *and all* $R \geq R_0$*, the following is true:*

$$\sup_{h \in K_o(W,V,R)} \|h\| \leq \sup_{h \in K_o(W_0,V,R_0)} \|h\| < \infty.$$

Furthermore the inequalities

$$|\det(h)|^{-\beta} \leq C_3^{\beta} \cdot \|h\|^{-d\beta\alpha_1} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\left(1 + \left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^{M} \leq C_4 \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1 M}, \tag{2.5}$$

with α_1 *as in Definition 2.5, hold for all* $h \in K_o(W, V, R)$ *and all* $\beta > 0$ *and* $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *for* $C_4 = C_4(M, W_0, R_0, V) > 0$ *and an absolute constant* $C_3 = C_3(V, W_0, R_0) > 0$*.*

Proof. We first observe $0 \notin \mathcal{O}$, because otherwise $H \times \{0\} = H_{\{0\}}$ is compact (cf. the properness assumption for the dual action in part (a) of Assumption 2.1), so that H is compact. But by part (c) of Assumption 2.1 there is an admissible function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\widehat{\psi} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$. This implies

$$0 \neq 1 = \int_H \left|\widehat{\psi}\left(h^T\xi\right)^2\right| \mathrm{d}h$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$, so that there is some $h \in H$ with $h^T\xi \in \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi})$. Hence,

$$\xi \in h^{-T}\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset H^T\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}),$$

where the latter set is compact.

This shows that $\mathcal{O} \subset H^T\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi})$ has to be bounded. But $\mathcal{O}$ is open with $0 \in \mathcal{O}$, so that there is some $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}$. By part (b) of Assumption 2.1, this yields $\mathbb{R}^+\xi \subset \mathcal{O}$, which contradicts boundedness. This contradiction shows $0 \notin \mathcal{O}$.

As $\overline{V} \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is compact, we conclude that $C_0 := \min_{\xi' \in \overline{V}} |\xi'|$ is positive.

For the proof of (a), we note that each $\xi' \in V$ satisfies the estimate

$$\left|\xi'\right| = \left|h^T h^{-T}\xi'\right| \leq \|h^T\| \cdot \left|h^{-T}\xi'\right|,$$

which entails

$$\left|h^{-T}\xi'\right|^{-1} \leq \|h\| \,/\, \left|\xi'\right| \leq \frac{1}{C_0} \cdot \|h\|.$$

For the proof of part (b), we observe that our assumptions yield constants $C, \alpha_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left|h^{-T}\xi'\right| \leq \left\|h^{-1}\right\| \cdot \left|\xi'\right| \leq C \cdot \max_{\eta \in \overline{V}} |\eta| \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1}$$

holds for all $h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$. Taking both sides to the power $-1/\alpha_1$ yields the claim with $\alpha = 1/\alpha_1 > 0$.

For the proof of part (c), let $h \in K_o(W,V,R) \subset K_o(W_0,V,R_0)$ for arbitrary $W \subset W_0$ and $R \geq R_0$ with W_0, R_0 as above. By definition, this implies that there is some $\xi' \in h^{-T}V \cap C(W,R)$. In particular, $|\xi'| > R \geq R_0$, and part (b), applied to $h^T\xi' \in V$, yield

$$\|h\| \leq C_2 \cdot \left|h^{-T}h^T\xi'\right|^{-\alpha} = C_2 \cdot \left|\xi'\right|^{-\alpha} \leq C_2 \cdot R_0^{-\alpha}.$$

For the proof of equation (2.4), recall that Hadamard's inequality implies $|\det(g)| \leq \|g\|^d$ for all $g \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$. We conclude

$$|\det(h)|^{-\beta} = \left|\det\left(h^{-1}\right)\right|^{\beta} \leq \left\|h^{-1}\right\|^{\beta d} \leq C^{\beta d} \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1 \beta d}$$

for all $h \in K_o(W_0,V,R_0) \supset K_o(W,V,R)$, where the estimate in the last step is due to part (a) of Definition 2.5.

Finally,

$$1 = \left\|h^{-1}h\right\| \leq \left\|h^{-1}\right\| \cdot \|h\| \leq C_4 \cdot \left\|h^{-1}\right\|$$

holds for all $h \in K_o(W_0,V,R_0) \supset K_o(W,V,R)$, because $\|h\|$ is bounded on this set. Using the constant C provided by Definition 2.5(a), we see

$$\left(1 + \left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^M \leq \left((C_4+1)\left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^M \leq \left[C\left(1+C_4\right) \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1}\right]^M,$$

which establishes estimate (2.5). □

3. Wavelet criteria for regular directed points

We first establish some basic growth or decay estimates concerning wavelet transforms of tempered distributions and Schwartz functions. In the following, we use the Schwartz norms

$$|\psi|_N := \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\, |\alpha|\leq N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|z|)^N \left|\partial^\alpha \psi(z)\right|.$$

Note that these norms are invariant under complex conjugation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

(a) For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x,h) \in G$, the following inequality holds:

$$|\pi(x,h)\psi|_N \leq C_N |\psi|_N \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \left(1+\|h^{-1}\|\right)^N \cdot \max\left\{1, \|h\|^N\right\} \cdot (1+|x|)^N,$$

with a constant C_N independent of ψ, x, h.

(b) There exists $N = N(u) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $(x,h) \in G$, the following inequality holds:

$$|W_\psi u(x,h)| \leq C \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \left(1+\|h^{-1}\|\right)^N \cdot \max\left\{1, \|h\|^N\right\} \cdot (1+|x|)^N$$

with $C > 0$ depending on ψ and u but not on x, h.

(c) For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x,h) \in G$, we have

$$|W_\psi \varphi(x,h)| \leq C_N |\varphi|_{d+N+1} |\psi|_N \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \left(1+\|h^{-1}\|\right)^N \cdot \max\left\{1, \|h\|^N\right\} \cdot (1+|x|)^{-N}$$

with C_N independent of φ, ψ, h, x.

(d) Assume that the dual action is V-microlocally admissible at ξ for some $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ and that $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$. Choose $R_0 > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$ as in Definition 2.5. Then

$$|W_\psi \varphi(x,h)| \leq C_{M,N,\psi,W_0,V,R_0} \cdot |\varphi|_{M+N} \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot (1+|x|)^{-N} \|h\|^M$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $h \in K_o(W_0,V,R_0)$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, where the constant C_{M,N,ψ,W_0,V,R_0} is independent of x, h and φ.

Proof. For the proof of part (a), we first compute the effect of dilation on the Schwartz norm. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\pi(0,h)\psi|_N &= |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot \sup\left\{(1+|z|)^N |\partial^\alpha (y \mapsto \psi(h^{-1}y))(z)| \;\middle|\; |\alpha| \leq N, z \in \mathbb{R}^d\right\} \\ &\leq C_N \, |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot (1+\|h^{-1}\|)^N \cdot \sup\left\{(1+|z|)^N |(\partial^\alpha \psi)(h^{-1}z)| \;\middle|\; |\alpha| \leq N, z \in \mathbb{R}^d\right\},\end{aligned}$$

using the chain rule. Now we can continue estimating

$$\begin{aligned}\ldots &\leq C_N |\psi|_N \, |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot (1+\|h^{-1}\|)^N \cdot \sup\left\{(1+|z|)^N (1+|h^{-1}z|)^{-N} \;\middle|\; z \in \mathbb{R}^d\right\} \\ &\leq C'_N \cdot |\psi|_N \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \, (1+\|h^{-1}\|)^N \cdot \max\left\{1, \|h\|^N\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we made use of the elementary estimate

$$1 + |z| = 1 + \left|hh^{-1}z\right| \leq 1 + \|h\| \cdot \left|h^{-1}z\right| \leq (1+\|h\|) \cdot \left(1 + \left|h^{-1}z\right|\right)$$

which leads to $(1+|z|)^N \left(1+\left|h^{-1}z\right|\right)^{-N} \leq (1+\|h\|)^N$.

By a similar (but easier) argument (using the inequality (3.1) below), we get

$$|\pi(x,\mathrm{id})\psi|_N \leq (1+|x|)^N |\psi|_N.$$

Now (a) follows from these calculations together with $\pi(x,h) = \pi(x,\mathrm{id}) \circ \pi(0,h)$.

For $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists $N = N(u) \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $C = C(u) > 0$ such that $|u(\psi)| \leq C \cdot |\psi|_N$ holds for all Schwartz functions $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Together with the definition $(W_\psi u)(x,h) = \langle u \mid \pi(x,h)\psi \rangle$, we see that part (b) follows from part (a).

Part (c) follows from similar considerations: We first consider the decay behaviour of convolution products of Schwartz functions: For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the inequality

$$1 + |x| \leq 1 + |x-y| + |y| \leq (1+|x-y|)(1+|y|) \tag{3.1}$$

to derive

$$\begin{aligned}(1+|y|)^{-d-1-N} (1+|x-y|)^{-N} &= (1+|y|)^{-d-1} \left[(1+|x-y|)(1+|y|)\right]^{-N} \\ &\leq (1+|y|)^{-d-1} \cdot (1+|x|)^{-N}\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}|(\varphi * \psi)(x)| &\leq |\varphi|_{d+N+1} |\psi|_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|y|)^{-d-1-N} (1+|x-y|)^{-N} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq C \cdot |\varphi|_{d+N+1} |\psi|_N \cdot (1+|x|)^{-N}\end{aligned}$$

with $C = C_d = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|y|)^{-d-1} \, \mathrm{d}y$.

We now combine this observation with part (a) and with the (easily verifiable) identity

$$(W_\psi \varphi)(x,h) = (\varphi * \pi(0,h)\psi^*)(x),$$

where $\psi^*(y) = \overline{\psi(-y)}$, to obtain the desired estimate.

Finally, for part (d), we first apply the standard Fourier-analytic arguments relating smoothness on the Fourier side and decay on the space side together with

$$\begin{aligned}(W_\psi \varphi)(x,h) &= \left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\varphi * [\pi(0,h)\psi^*]\right)\right)\right](x) \\ &= \left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\varphi} \cdot (\pi(0,h)\psi^*)^\wedge\right)\right](x)\end{aligned}$$

to derive

$$|W_\psi \varphi(x,h)| \leq C_N \cdot (1+|x|)^{-N} \cdot \max_{|\alpha| \leq N} \left\|\partial^\alpha \left(\widehat{\varphi} \cdot (\pi(0,h)\psi^*)^\wedge\right)\right\|_1.$$

Using Leibniz' formula, together with the fact that $(\pi(0,h)\psi^*)^\wedge = |\det(h)|^{1/2} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T\cdot)}$ is supported inside $h^{-T}V$, each integrand can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left[\partial^\alpha \left(\widehat{\varphi}\cdot(\pi(0,h)\psi^*)^\wedge\right)\right](\xi')\right| \\
&\leq C_N \cdot |\det(h)|^{1/2}(1+\|h\|)^N \cdot \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \left|(\partial^\beta\widehat{\varphi})(\xi')\right| \left|(\partial^\gamma\widehat{\psi})(h^T\xi')\right| \\
&\leq C'_N \cdot \left[|\det(h)|^{1/2}(1+\|h\|)^N \sum_{|\gamma|\leq N} \left|(\partial^\gamma\widehat{\psi})(h^T\xi')\right|\right] \cdot |\varphi|_{M+N} \cdot \sup_{\eta\in h^{-T}V}(1+|\eta|)^{-M}.
\end{aligned}$$

But Lemma 2.8(a) yields

$$\sup_{\eta\in h^{-T}V}(1+|\eta|)^{-M} = \sup_{\xi'\in V}(1+|h^{-T}\xi'|)^{-M} \leq \sup_{\xi'\in V}\left|h^{-T}\xi'\right|^{-M} \leq C''_{M,V}\cdot\|h\|^M.$$

Furthermore, Lemma 2.8(c) allows to bound the factor $(1+\|h\|)^N$ uniformly on $K_o(W_0,V,R_0)$.

In total, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
|W_\psi\varphi(x,h)| &\leq C_{N,M,V,W_0,R_0}\cdot|\varphi|_{M+N}\cdot(1+|x|)^{-N}\|h\|^M \sum_{|\gamma|\leq N}|\det(h)|^{1/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left|(\partial^\gamma\widehat{\psi})(h^T\xi')\right|\,\mathrm{d}\xi' \\
&= C_{N,M,V,W_0,R_0}\cdot|\varphi|_{M+N}\cdot(1+|x|)^{-N}\|h\|^M\cdot|\det(h)|^{-1/2}\sum_{|\gamma|\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|(\partial^\gamma\widehat{\psi})(\eta)|\,\mathrm{d}\eta. \ \square
\end{aligned}$$

We next address how wavelet coefficient decay and/or regular directed points are affected by certain multiplication operators, either in space or in frequency domain. The first observation pertains to localization in the space domain and is well-known. For the sake of completeness, we nevertheless provide a proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times S^{d-1}$. Let $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be identically one in some neighborhood of x. Then (x,ξ) is a regular directed point of u iff it is a regular directed point of φu.*

Proof. "$\Leftarrow$": If $\varphi\equiv 1$ on $B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ and (x_0,ξ_0) is a regular directed point of φu, then there is some function $\psi\in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\psi\equiv 1$ on $B_\delta(x_0)$ for some $\delta>0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(\psi\cdot\varphi u)(\xi)| \leq C_N\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}$$

holds for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $\xi\in C(W)$ for some (fixed) ξ_0-neighborhood $W\subset S^{d-1}$. Because of $\psi\varphi\in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\psi\varphi\equiv 1$ on $B_{\min\{\varepsilon,\delta\}}(x_0)$, this means that (x_0,ξ_0) is a regular directed point of u.

"$\Rightarrow$": The following is loosely based on the proof of [1, Part B, Lemma 1.1.1]. Let (x_0,ξ_0) be a regular directed point of u. We will show the more general claim that (x_0,ξ_0) is a regular directed point of φu for any $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$. By definition of a regular directed point, there is $\psi\in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\psi\equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of x_0 and a ξ_0-neighborhood $W\subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$\left|\widehat{\psi u}(\xi)\right| \leq C_N\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N} \tag{3.2}$$

holds for all $\xi\in C(W)$ for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$.

By definition of the relative topology, we have $B_\delta(\xi_0)\cap S^{d-1}\subset W$ for some $\delta\in(0,1)$. Let $c:=\delta/8<\frac{1}{2}$. This implies $1-c>1/2$ and hence

$$\frac{2c}{1-c}\leq 4c=\frac{\delta}{2}.$$

We now show

$$\forall \xi \in C\left(B_{\delta/2}(\xi_0)\right)\ \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } |\eta| < c\,|\xi| : \qquad \xi - \eta \in C(W). \tag{3.3}$$

To this end, first observe

$$|\xi - \eta| \geq |\xi| - |\eta| \geq (1-c)\,|\xi| \geq |\xi|/2 > 0 \tag{3.4}$$

and $||\xi| - |\xi - \eta|| \leq |\eta| < c\,|\xi|$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\xi-\eta}{|\xi-\eta|} - \xi_0\right| &\leq \left|\frac{\xi-\eta}{|\xi-\eta|} - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right| + \left|\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0\right| \\
&< \frac{|(|\xi| - |\xi-\eta|)\,\xi - |\xi|\,\eta|}{|\xi-\eta| \cdot |\xi|} + \frac{\delta}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{c\,|\xi|^2 + c\,|\xi|^2}{(1-c)\cdot|\xi|^2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\xi - \eta \in |\xi-\eta| \cdot \left(B_\delta(\xi_0) \cap S^{d-1}\right) \subset C(W)$.

Now, set $\varrho := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi$. Recall from [25, Theorem 7.23] that the Fourier transform of a compactly supported (tempered) distribution f is given by (integration against) the smooth, polynomially bounded function $\widehat{f}(\xi) = f\left(e^{-2\pi i\langle \cdot, \xi\rangle}\right)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{F}(\psi\cdot\varphi u))(\xi) &= (\psi\cdot\varphi u)\left(e^{-2\pi i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle}\right) \\
&= (\psi u)\left(\varphi\cdot e^{-2\pi i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle}\right) \\
&= (\psi u)\left(\widehat{L_\xi \varrho}\right) \\
&= \widehat{\psi u}\,(L_\xi\varrho) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{\psi u}\,(\xi-\eta)\cdot\varrho(-\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We now split the domain of the last integral into the parts $|\eta| < c\,|\xi|$ and $|\eta| \geq c\,|\xi|$. For the first part, we use equations (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) to estimate, for each $\xi \in C\left(B_{\delta/2}(\xi_0)\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{|\eta|<c|\xi|} \widehat{\psi u}\,(\xi-\eta)\cdot\varrho(-\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\eta\right| &\leq C_N \cdot \int_{|\eta|<c|\xi|} (1+|\xi-\eta|)^{-N}\cdot|\varrho(-\eta)|\,\mathrm{d}\eta \\
&\leq C_N\cdot\left(1+\frac{|\xi|}{2}\right)^{-N}\cdot\|\varrho\|_1 \\
&\leq 2^N C_N\cdot\|\varrho\|_1\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part, observe that [25, Theorem 7.23] shows that $\widehat{\psi u}$ is a polynomially bounded function, i.e. $|\widehat{\psi u}(\xi-\eta)| \leq C\cdot(1+|\xi-\eta|)^M$ for suitable $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C > 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Together with

$$1+|\xi-\eta| \leq 1+|\xi|+|\eta| \leq 1+\left(1+c^{-1}\right)|\eta| \leq \left[1+\left(1+c^{-1}\right)\right](1+|\eta|) =: C_c\cdot(1+|\eta|),$$

this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{|\eta|\geq c|\xi|} \widehat{\psi u}\,(\xi-\eta)\cdot\varrho\,(-\eta)\;\mathrm{d}\eta\right| &\leq C\,|\varrho|_{M+K+d+1}\int_{|\eta|\geq c|\xi|}(1+|\xi-\eta|)^{M}\cdot(1+|\eta|)^{-M-K-d-1}\;\mathrm{d}\eta\\ &\leq C\cdot C_c^M\cdot|\varrho|_{M+K+d+1}\cdot(1+c\,|\xi|)^{-K}\cdot\int_{|\eta|\geq c|\xi|}(1+|\eta|)^{-d-1}\;\mathrm{d}\eta\\ &\leq C'\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-K}\end{aligned}$$

for each $K\in\mathbb{N}_0$, where C' is of the form $C'=C'\,(\varrho,C,K,M,d,c)=C'\,(\delta,d,\varphi,\psi,u,K)$. This completes the proof. □

The following Fourier localization statement for regular directed points is probably folklore, but since it is central to our argument, we include a proof.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\zeta:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ denote a C^∞-function with polynomially bounded partial derivatives. Then, for $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Fourier localization $P_\zeta u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\,(\zeta\cdot\widehat{u})$, i.e.*

$$P_\zeta u:\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathbb{C},\ \varphi\mapsto\widehat{u}\left(\zeta\cdot\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi\right)$$

is a well-defined tempered distribution. If

$$\zeta|_{C(W,R)}\equiv 1$$

holds for some $\xi_0\in S^{d-1}$, some $R>0$ and some ξ_0-neighborhood $W\subset S^{d-1}$ and if (x_0,ξ_0) is a regular directed point of $P_\zeta u$, then (x_0,ξ_0) is also a regular directed point of u.

Remark. One can show (using a similar proof) that the reverse implication is also valid, but we will not need this in the following.

Proof. Well-definedness of $P_\zeta u$ follows from the fact that $\varphi\mapsto\varphi\cdot\zeta$ is a continuous linear operator on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (because ζ has polynomially bounded derivatives) and because the Fourier transform is a homeomorphism $\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\to\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$.

Let $R'>\max\{1,R\}$ be arbitrary and define $W_\delta:=B_\delta\,(\xi_0)\cap S^{d-1}$ for $\delta>0$. The main geometric fact on which the proof is based is the following estimate, valid for all $\delta\in(0,1)$,

$$\forall\xi\in C\left(W_{\delta/2},\frac{4}{3}R'\right)\ \forall y\in\mathbb{R}^d\setminus C\left(W_\delta,R'\right):\qquad |y-\xi|\geq\frac{\delta}{4}\cdot|\xi|\,. \tag{3.5}$$

For the proof of this inequality, we distringuish two cases:

Case 1. We have $||\xi|-|y||>\frac{\delta}{4}\,|\xi|$. In this case, simply note

$$|y-\xi|\geq||y|-|\xi||>\frac{\delta}{4}\cdot|\xi|\,.$$

Case 2. We have $||\xi|-|y||\leq\frac{\delta}{4}\,|\xi|$. Using $\delta\leq 1$ and $|\xi|>\frac{4}{3}R'$, this yields

$$|y|\geq|\xi|-\frac{\delta}{4}\,|\xi|\geq\frac{3}{4}\,|\xi|>R'.$$

In particular, $|y|>0$. In case of $\frac{y}{|y|}\in B_\delta\,(\xi_0)$, this would imply $y=|y|\,\frac{y}{|y|}\in C\,(W_\delta)$ and hence $y\in C\,(W_\delta,R')$ in contradiction to the assumptions of equation (3.5). Hence, $\frac{y}{|y|}\notin B_\delta\,(\xi_0)$.

But $\xi \in C\left(W_{\delta/2}, \frac{4}{3}R'\right) \subset C\left(W_{\delta/2}\right)$, which yields some $\xi' \in W_{\delta/2} = B_{\delta/2}(\xi_0) \cap S^{d-1}$ and $r > 0$ with $\xi = r \cdot \xi'$. This implies $r = |\xi|$ and hence $\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} = \xi' \in B_{\delta/2}(\xi_0)$. Together, we arrive at

$$\left| \frac{y}{|y|} - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right| > \frac{\delta}{2}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |y - \xi| &= |\xi| \cdot \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \frac{y}{|\xi|} \right| \\ &\geq |\xi| \cdot \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \frac{y}{|y|} \right| - |\xi| \cdot \left| \frac{y}{|y|} - \frac{y}{|\xi|} \right| \\ &> \frac{\delta}{2} |\xi| - \left| \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot [|\xi| - |y|] \right| \\ &= \frac{\delta}{2} |\xi| - ||\xi| - |y|| \geq \frac{\delta}{4} |\xi| , \end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption $||\xi| - |y|| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} |\xi|$ of this case in the last step.

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ be arbitrary and set $\psi_\varphi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi$. Using the formula $\widehat{f}(\xi) = f\left(e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, \cdot \rangle}\right)$ for the Fourier transform of a compactly supported (tempered) distribution f – as given in [25, Theorem 7.23] – we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} g_\varphi(\xi) := \left(\mathcal{F}\left[\varphi \cdot P_{1-\zeta} u\right]\right)(\xi) &= \left(P_{1-\zeta} u\right)\left(\varphi \cdot e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, \cdot \rangle}\right) \\ &= \widehat{u}\left((1-\zeta) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi \cdot e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, \cdot \rangle}\right)\right) \\ &= \widehat{u}\left((1-\zeta) \cdot L_\xi\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi\right)\right) \\ &= \widehat{u}\left((1-\zeta) \cdot L_\xi \psi_\varphi\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $L_\xi \psi_\varphi$ is the left-translate of ψ_φ, defined by $\left(L_\xi \psi_\varphi\right)(\eta) = \psi_\varphi(\eta - \xi)$.

By definition of the relative topology, $W_\delta \subset W$ holds for some $\delta > 0$. We want to show that g_φ has rapid decay on $C\left(W_{\delta/2}, \frac{4}{3}R'\right)$. To this end, first note that

$$|\widehat{u}(f)| \leq C_u \cdot |f|_K$$

holds for suitable $C_u > 0$ and $K = K(u) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$, because $\widehat{u}$ is a tempered distribution. Furthermore, ζ has polynomially bounded derivatives of all orders, so that the same is true of $1 - \zeta$. Also, $1 - \zeta$ vanishes on $C(W, R) \supset C(W_\delta, R')$.

Let $\xi \in C\left(W_{\delta/2}, \frac{4}{3}R'\right)$. Recall the estimate $|y - \xi| \geq \frac{\delta}{4} |\xi|$ from equation (3.5) which is valid for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus C(W_\delta, R')$. This also implies

$$1 + |y| \leq 1 + |y - \xi| + |\xi| \leq 1 + \left(1 + \frac{4}{\delta}\right) |y - \xi| \leq C_\delta \cdot (1 + |y - \xi|) .$$

Together, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}(1+|y-\xi|)^{-(N+K+L)} &= (1+|y-\xi|)^{-(K+L)}\cdot(1+|y-\xi|)^{-N}\\ &\leq C_\delta^{K+L}\cdot(1+|y|)^{-(K+L)}\cdot\left(1+\frac{\delta}{4}|\xi|\right)^{-N}\\ &\leq C_\delta^{K+L}\cdot\left(\min\left\{1,\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}\right)^{-N}\cdot(1+|y|)^{-(K+L)}\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}\\ &= C_{\delta,N,K,L}\cdot(1+|y|)^{-(K+L)}\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}.\end{aligned}$$

Via Leibniz' formula, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+|y|)^K\cdot\left|\left[\partial^\alpha\left((1-\zeta)\cdot L_\xi\psi_\varphi\right)\right](y)\right|\\ &\leq (1+|y|)^K\cdot\sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\cdot\left|\left(\partial^\beta(1-\zeta)\right)(y)\right|\cdot\left|\left(\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\psi_\varphi\right)(y-\xi)\right|\\ &\leq C\cdot(1+|y|)^K\cdot\sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\cdot\chi_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus C(W_\delta,R')}(y)\cdot(1+|y|)^L\cdot|\psi_\varphi|_{N+K+L}\cdot(1+|y-\xi|)^{-(N+K+L)}\\ &\leq C\cdot|\psi_\varphi|_{N+K+L}\,C_{\delta,N,K,L}\cdot\left[\sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\right]\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $|\alpha|\leq K$ and suitable constants $C=C(\zeta,K)>0$ and $L=L(\zeta,K)\in\mathbb{N}$.

All in all, this establishes

$$|g_\varphi(\xi)| = |\widehat{u}\left((1-\zeta)\cdot L_\xi\psi_\varphi\right)| \leq C_u\cdot|(1-\zeta)\cdot L_\xi\psi_\varphi|_K \leq C_{u,\delta,\zeta,\varphi,N}\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}$$

for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $\xi\in C\left(W_{\delta/2},\frac{4}{3}R'\right)$.

Now assume that (x_0,ξ_0) is a regular directed point of $P_\zeta u$. Pick $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ identically one in a neighborhood of x_0, as well as a ξ_0-neighborhood $W'\subset S^{d-1}$ and some $R''>0$ such that

$$\left|(\varphi\cdot P_\zeta u)^\wedge(\xi)\right|\leq C_N\cdot(1+|\xi|)^{-N}$$

holds for all $\xi\in C(W',R'')$.

As an easy consequence of the definitions, $u=P_\zeta u+P_{1-\zeta}u$ and hence

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi\cdot u)=\mathcal{F}(\varphi\cdot P_\zeta u)+\mathcal{F}(\varphi\cdot P_{1-\zeta}u).$$

But $\mathcal{F}(\varphi\cdot P_\zeta u)$ is of rapid decay on $C(W',R'')$, whereas rapid decay of $g_\varphi=\mathcal{F}(\varphi\cdot P_{1-\zeta}u)$ on $C\left(W_{\delta/2},\frac{4}{3}R'\right)$ was established above. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(\varphi\cdot u)$ decays rapidly on

$$C\left(W'\cap W_{\delta/2},\max\left\{R'',\frac{4}{3}R'\right\}\right),$$

so that (x_0,ξ_0) is a regular directed point of u. □

Since we aim at characterizing regular directed points using wavelet transform decay, the following result is a natural counterpart to Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\psi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\varphi|_{B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)}\equiv 1$ for some $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon_1>0$. Assume that the dual action is V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ, and that $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi})\subset V$ for some $\varnothing\neq V\Subset\mathcal{O}$.*

Then there exist constants $C_N>0$ $(N\in\mathbb{N})$, such that the estimate

$$|W_\psi u(y,h)-(W_\psi(\varphi u))(y,h)|\leq C_N\|h\|^N$$

holds for all $y \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$ and all $h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$, as soon as $R_0 > 0$ and the ξ-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$ satisfy part (a) of the definition of V-microlocal admissibility (Definition 2.5).

Proof. We first employ the standard continuity criterion for tempered distributions to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\psi u(y,h) - (W_\psi(\varphi u))(y,h)| &= |\langle u \mid (1-\overline{\varphi}) \cdot (\pi(y,h)\psi)\rangle| \\ &\leq C \cdot |(1-\overline{\varphi}) \cdot (\pi(y,h)\psi)|_M, \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable $C > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending only on $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$). We use the estimate $|z-y| = \left|hh^{-1}(z-y)\right| \leq \|h\| \cdot \left|h^{-1}(z-y)\right|$ to derive

$$\left(1 + \left|h^{-1}(z-y)\right|\right)^{-K} \leq \left|h^{-1}(z-y)\right|^{-K} \leq \|h\|^K \cdot |z-y|^{-K}.$$

An application of Lemma 2.8(c) shows

$$|\det(h)|^{-1/2} \leq C_1 \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1 d/2}$$

and

$$\left(1 + \left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^M \leq C_2 \cdot \|h\|^{-M\alpha_1}$$

for all $h \in K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$, with $C_2 = C_2(M, W_0, R_0, V) > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$ as in Definition 2.5.

Using Leibniz' formula, the chain rule and the fact that $1 - \overline{\varphi}$ vanishes on $B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1-\overline{\varphi}) \cdot (\pi(y,h)\psi)|_M \\ &\leq C_{\varphi,M} \cdot \max_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)} (1+|z|)^M \left|\partial^\alpha|_{z'=z} \left(z' \mapsto |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot \psi(h^{-1}(z'-y))\right)\right| \\ &\leq C'_{\varphi,M} \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1 d/2} \cdot \max_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)} (1+|z|)^M \left(1 + \left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^M \left|(\partial^\alpha \psi)\left(h^{-1}(z-y)\right)\right| \\ &\leq |\psi|_K \, C_{\varphi,M,W_0,R_0,V} \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1\left(M+\frac{d}{2}\right)} \cdot \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)} (1+|z|)^M \left(1 + \left|h^{-1}(z-y)\right|\right)^{-K} \\ &\leq |\psi|_K \, C_{\varphi,M,W_0,R_0,V} \cdot \|h\|^{K-\alpha_1\left(M+\frac{d}{2}\right)} \cdot \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)} (1+|z|)^M |z-y|^{-K} \end{aligned}$$

for all $K \geq M$.

But as soon as $K \geq M$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$ and $y \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon_1/2}}(x)$, we have

$$|z-y| = |(z-x)+(x-y)| \geq |z-x| - |x-y| \geq |z-x| - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}.$$

There are now two cases for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$. If $|z| \geq 2 \cdot \left(|x| + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right) \geq \varepsilon_1$, then $\left|\frac{y}{|z|}\right| \leq \frac{|x|+\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}}{|z|} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and hence

$$\frac{(1+|z|)^M}{|z-y|^K} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{|z|}+1\right)^M}{\left|1-\frac{y}{|z|}\right|^M} \cdot |z-y|^{M-K} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}\right)^{K-M} \cdot \left[\frac{1+\frac{1}{|z|}}{1-\frac{1}{2}}\right]^M \leq \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}\right) \cdot 2^M \cdot \left(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\right)^M.$$

If otherwise $|z| \leq 2 \cdot \left(|x| + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right)$, we observe that

$$\left(\left[\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)\right] \cap \overline{B_{2\cdot\left(|x|+\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right)}}(0)\right) \times \overline{B_{\varepsilon_1/2}}(x) \to \mathbb{R}, (z,y) \mapsto (1+|z|)^M \cdot |z-y|^{-K}$$

is a continuous function on a compact set and hence bounded. All in all, this shows that the constant

$$C_{K,M,\varepsilon_1,x} := \sup_{y \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon_1/2}}(x)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)} (1+|z|)^M \cdot |z-y|^{-K}$$

is finite. Here, we note that $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ are fixed.

Since K can be chosen arbitrarily large and $\|h\|$ is bounded on $K_o(W_0, V, R_0)$ (cf. Lemma 2.8(c)), so that large powers of $\|h\|$ can be estimated by (constant multiplies of) smaller powers of $\|h\|$, this establishes the desired decay estimate. □

We can now formulate wavelet criteria for regular directed points. Note that the following theorem can *not* be understood as a characterization in the strict sense, since the necessary condition in part (a) concludes a certain decay behaviour on $K_i(W, V, R)$, whereas the sufficient condition in part (b) requires this behaviour on the *larger* set $K_o(W, V, R)$.

Another important detail of the theorem is that the neighborhoods U, W and also $R > 0$ in part (a) can be chosen *independently* of the wavelet ψ. This will become important for the proof of wavelet characterizations using multiple wavelets.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that the dual action is V-microlocally admissible in direction ξ. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1})$.*

(a) If (x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u, then there exists a neighborhood U of x, some $R > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that for all *admissible $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_i(W, V, R) \ : \ |W_\psi u(y,h)| \leq C_N \|h\|^N.$$

For each such ψ, we even have

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_i(W, \widehat{\psi}^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), R) \ : \ |W_\psi u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N.$$

(b) Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible with $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$. Assume that U is a neighborhood of x and that there are $R > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_o(W, V, R) \ : \ |W_\psi u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N.$$

Then (x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u.

Proof. In the remainder of the proof, we will need the formula

$$(W_\psi u(\cdot, h))^\wedge(\xi') = \widehat{u}(\xi') \cdot |\det(h)|^{1/2} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T \xi')} \qquad \forall \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{3.6}$$

for the Fourier transform of the Wavelet transform, which follows by the convolution theorem. For later reference, we provide a direct calculation valid for compactly supported u: Recall from [25, Theorem 7.23] that the tempered distribution $\widehat{u}$ is given by integration against a smooth, polynomially bounded function (again denoted by $\widehat{u}$). Using the definition of the Fourier transform for tempered distributions, we can hence write

$$\begin{aligned}
(W_\psi u)(y,h) &= \langle u \mid \pi(y,h)\,\psi \rangle = \left\langle u, \overline{\pi(y,h)\,\psi} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \widehat{u}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\overline{\pi(y,h)\,\psi}\right) \right\rangle \\
&\overset{(\dagger)}{=} |\det(h)|^{1/2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{u}(\xi) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T \xi)} \cdot e^{2\pi i \langle y, \xi \rangle}\, \mathrm{d}\xi \\
&= |\det(h)|^{1/2} \cdot \left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{u} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T \cdot)}\right)\right](y),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where we used equation (1.3) together with $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\overline{f} = \overline{\widehat{f}}$ at (†). But $\widehat{u}$ has polynomially bounded derivatives and $\widehat{\psi}(h^T \cdot)$ is a Schwartz function. Together, this entails $\widehat{u} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T \cdot)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so that Fourier inversion finally yields equation (3.6). The analogous formula (with a similar, but easier proof) also holds for $W_\psi \varphi$, for any Schwartz function φ.

Let us now prove part (a). To this end, assume that (x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u. Fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$ for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ as well as

$$\left|\widehat{\varphi u}\left(\xi'\right)\right| \leq C_N \cdot \left(1 + \left|\xi'\right|\right)^{-N} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $\xi' \in C(W_1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $W_1 \subset S^{d-1}$ is a ξ-neighborhood.

Let $R_0 > 0$ and the ξ-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$ be provided by the assumption of V-microlocal admissibility in direction ξ (cf. Definition 2.5). Furthermore, set $U := B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$. Observe that all choices up to this point only depend on u, x, ξ and V, but not on the wavelet ψ.

Set $V' := \widehat{\psi}^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \subset V$ and observe (cf. Remark 2.4) the chain of inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V, R_0\right) &\subset K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V', R_0\right) \subset K_i\left(W_0, V', R_0\right) \\ &\subset K_o\left(W_0, V', R_0\right) \subset K_o\left(W_0, V, R_0\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By Lemma 3.4, it is sufficient to show

$$\left|\left(W_\psi(\varphi u)\right)(y, h)\right| \leq C_N \|h\|^N$$

for all $y \in U$ and $h \in K_i(W_0 \cap W_1, V', R_0)$. This will entail rapid decay of $W_\psi u$ on the set $U \times K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V', R_0\right) \supset U \times K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V, R_0\right)$.

For such h, we use equation (3.7) with φu instead of u – together with the fact that φu is compactly supported – to estimate

$$\left|\left(W_\psi(\varphi u)\right)(y, h)\right| \leq \left|\det(h)\right|^{1/2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|(\varphi u)^\wedge(\xi')\right| \cdot \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T \xi')\right| \, \mathrm{d}\xi'.$$

We observe that the definition of $K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V', R_0\right)$ implies that the set $h^{-T} V'$ on which $\widehat{\psi}(h^T \cdot)$ does not vanish is contained in $C(W_0 \cap W_1) \subset C\left(W_1\right)$ for each $h \in K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V', R_0\right)$, so that equation (3.8) yields $|\widehat{\varphi u}(\xi')| \leq C_N \cdot (1 + |\xi'|)^{-N}$ on this set.

But $\widehat{\psi}\left(h^T \xi'\right) \neq 0$ also implies $h^T \xi' \in V' \subset V$, so that Lemma 2.8(a) yields $C = C\left(V\right) > 0$ with

$$\left|\xi'\right|^{-1} = \left|h^{-T} h^T \xi'\right|^{-1} \leq C \cdot \|h\|,$$

which leads to

$$\left|\widehat{\varphi u}\left(\xi'\right)\right| \leq C_N \cdot \left(1 + \left|\xi'\right|\right)^{-N} \leq C_N \cdot \left|\xi'\right|^{-N} \leq C'_N \cdot \|h\|^N.$$

In summary, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(W_\psi(\varphi u)\right)(y, h)\right| &\leq C'_N \cdot \|h\|^N \cdot \left|\det(h)\right|^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T \xi')\right| \mathrm{d}\xi' \\ &= C'_N \cdot \|h\|^N \cdot \left|\det(h)\right|^{-1/2} \|\widehat{\psi}\|_1. \\ &\leq \|\widehat{\psi}\|_1 \cdot C''_N \cdot \|h\|^{N - \frac{\alpha_1 d}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

wher the last estimate is due to Lemma 2.8(c) and to the chain of inclusions in equation (3.9).

The same lemma also yields that $\|h\|$ is bounded on $K_o\left(W_0, V, R_0\right) \supset K_i\left(W_0 \cap W_1, V', R_0\right)$, so that large powers of $\|h\|$ can be estimated by smaller powers. Hence, the above inequality implies the desired decay estimate, because $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary.

For the proof of part (b), observe that we may assume u to be compactly supported by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. By assumption,

$$|W_\psi u(y, h)| \leq C_N \|h\|^N \tag{3.10}$$

holds for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$ and $h \in K_o(W, V, R)$. With $R_0 > 0$ and $W_0 \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ as in Definition 2.5, we may assume $W \subset W_0 \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $R > R_0$. In particular, this implies $C\left(W, R\right) \subset \mathcal{O}$, thanks to Assumption 2.1(b).

We let

$$\eta := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot \pi(y,h)\psi \, \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|} \, \mathrm{d}y, \tag{3.11}$$

as well as

$$\zeta : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,\infty), \xi' \mapsto \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T \xi')\right|^2 \mathrm{d}h. \tag{3.12}$$

Here the integral defining η is to be understood in the weak sense, i.e., given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta \mid \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot \langle \pi(y,h)\psi \mid \varphi \rangle_{L^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u\,(y,h) \cdot \overline{(W_\psi \varphi)\,(y,h)} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|} \, \mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We now intend to show the following statements:

(1) η is a well-defined element of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and the integral in equation (3.13) converges absolutely for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$,
(2) ζ is a C^∞-function with polynomially bounded derivatives,
(3) $\eta = P_\zeta u$, and $\zeta|_{C(W,R)} \equiv 1$,
(4) in a suitable neighborhood of x, the distribution η is given by a C^∞-function.

It is worth noting that only the last part will use the assumption regarding the decay of $W_\psi u$ (cf. equation (3.10)).

These observations combined will yield that (x,ξ) is a regular directed point of u: By observation (4), (x,ξ) is a regular directed point of η, and then the observations (2) and (3), together with Lemma 3.3, show that (x,ξ) is also a regular directed point of u.

Let us now provide the details. For the well-definedness of η, we use parts (b) and (d) of Lemma 3.1 to estimate, with a suitable $N \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on u, and arbitrary $M, K \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $h \in K_o\,(W,V,R) \subset K_o\,(W_0,V,R_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\psi u(y,h)| &\leq C_{u,\psi} \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot (1 + \|h^{-1}\|)^N \cdot \max\{1, \|h\|^N\} \cdot (1+|y|)^N, \\ |W_\psi \varphi\,(y,h)| &\leq C_{M,K,\psi,W_0,V,R_0} \, |\varphi|_{M+K} \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot (1+|y|)^{-K} \, \|h\|^M. \end{aligned}$$

Recall (from Lemma 2.8(c)) that the norm $\|h\|$ is bounded on $K_o(W_0,V,R_0) \supset K_o\,(W,V,R)$, so that the factor $\max\{1,\|h\|^N\}$ can be bounded by a constant while estimating the following integral (which is nothing but the absolute version of the integral defining $\langle \eta \mid \varphi \rangle$):

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \left| W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot \overline{W_\psi \varphi(y,h)} \right| \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq C' \cdot |\varphi|_{M+K} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|y|)^{N-K} \, \mathrm{d}y \cdot \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \frac{\left(1 + \left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^N}{|\det(h)|^2} \cdot \|h\|^M \, \mathrm{d}h \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable constant $C' = C'\,(M,K,\psi,W_0,V,R_0,u)$ and $N = N\,(u)$.

The first integral is finite as soon as $K \geq N + d + 1$. For the second integral, we can use Lemma 2.8(c) to estimate the integrand by

$$\frac{(1+\|h^{-1}\|)^N}{|\det(h)|^2} \|h\|^M \leq C'' \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1 N} \cdot \|h\|^{-2d\alpha_1} \cdot \|h\|^M = C'' \|h\|^{M - \alpha_1(N+2d)}.$$

But $\|h\|$ is bounded on K_o by Lemma 2.8(c), so that large powers of $\|h\|$ can be estimated by small powers. Hence, part (b) of Definition 2.5 implies that the integral is finite, as soon as

$$M - \alpha_1(N + 2d) \geq \alpha_2.$$

Thus well-definedness of $\eta \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (and absolute convergence of the integral in equation (3.13)) is established.

For the proof of property (2), we note that $H \leq \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ is a closed subgroup and hence σ-compact, i.e. $H = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} K_\ell$ with $K_\ell \subset K_{\ell+1}$ and compact K_ℓ for all ℓ. Define

$$\zeta_\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty), \xi' \mapsto \int_{K_\ell \cap K_o(W,V,R)} \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T \xi')\right|^2 \mathrm{d}h$$

for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Smoothness of $|\widehat{\psi}|^2$ together with compactness of K_ℓ easily imply that differentiation and integration can be interchanged in the definition of ζ_ℓ, so that each ζ_ℓ is a smooth function. Moreover, monotone convergence implies $\zeta_\ell(\xi') \to \zeta(\xi')$ for all $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We first observe $\zeta_\ell \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{O}^c$, because $\zeta_\ell(\xi') \neq 0$ would imply $\widehat{\psi}\left(h^T \xi'\right) \neq 0$ for some $h \in H$ and hence $h^T \xi' \in \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset \mathcal{O}$, which entails $\xi' \in h^{-T}\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}$, because $\mathcal{O}$ is H^T-invariant. We will now show

$$\left|\left(\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell\right)(\xi')\right| \leq C_\beta \cdot \left(1 + |\xi'|\right)^\alpha \tag{3.14}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $\xi' \in \mathcal{O}$ (and hence for $\xi' \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ by continuity of $\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell$), where the constants $C_\beta > 0$ are independent of $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and of $\xi' \in \mathcal{O}$ and where $\alpha \geq 0$ is taken from Assumption 2.1(d). This implies that estimate (3.14) is even valid on all of $\mathbb{R}^d$ because of $\zeta_\ell \equiv 0$ on the open set $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^c \subset \mathcal{O}^c$ (see above).

Local boundedness of the higher derivatives then implies (local) equicontinuity of $\left(\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell\right)_\ell$ for all β. Thus, an Arzela-Ascoli argument implies locally uniform convergence $\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell \to \zeta_\beta$ (along some subsequence[2]) with continuous functions $\zeta_\beta : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. The pointwise convergence $\zeta_\ell \to \zeta$ implies $\zeta_0 = \zeta$, which then entails that ζ is smooth with $\partial^\beta \zeta = \zeta_\beta$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Finally, we get

$$\left|\left(\partial^\beta \zeta\right)(\xi')\right| = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \left|\left(\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell\right)(\xi')\right| \leq C_\beta \cdot \left(1 + |\xi'|\right)^\alpha$$

for all $\xi' \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Together with $\zeta \equiv 0$ on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^c \subset \mathcal{O}^c$, we see that all derivatives of ζ are polynomially bounded.

In order to prove the estimate (3.14), we first note that in the evaluation of $\zeta_\ell(\xi')$, the domain of integration can be reduced to $K_o(W,V,R) \cap K_\ell \cap H_{\xi',V}$, using $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$, and the definition of $H_{\xi',V}$ (cf. Assumption 2.1). Thus,

$$\left|(\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell)(\xi')\right| \leq \int_{K_o(W,V,R) \cap H_{\xi',V}} \left|\left[\partial^\beta \left(\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(h^T \cdot\right)\right|^2\right)\right](\xi')\right| \mathrm{d}h.$$

Using the chain rule, we see that the integrand can be estimated by

$$\left|\left[\partial^\beta \left(\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(h^T \cdot\right)\right|^2\right)\right](\xi')\right| \leq C_{|\beta|} \cdot (1 + \|h\|)^{|\beta|} \cdot \max_{|\sigma| \leq |\beta|} \left\|\partial^\sigma |\widehat{\psi}|^2\right\|_{\sup}.$$

In particular, since the norm $\|h\|$ is bounded on $K_o(W_0, V, R) \supset K_o(W,V,R)$ (cf. Lemma 2.8(c)), we obtain the bound

$$\left|(\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell)(\xi')\right| \leq C'_{|\beta|} \cdot \mu_H(H_{\xi',V}),$$

[2]Using $\zeta_\beta = \partial^\beta \zeta$, one can show that the convergence $\partial^\beta \zeta_\ell \to \partial^\beta \zeta$ even holds without restricting to a subsequence.

where $C'_{|\beta|} > 0$ is independent of $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi' \in \mathcal{O}$. But $\mu_H(H_{\xi',V}) \leq C \cdot (1+|\xi'|)^{\alpha}$ by assumption 2.1(d). This proves equation (3.14) and thus also observation (2).

For observation (3), first recall that we are assuming u to be of compact support. Hence, equation (3.6) yields

$$(W_\psi u(\cdot,h))^\wedge(\xi') = \widehat{u}(\xi') \cdot |\det(h)|^{1/2} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi')} \qquad \forall \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Using Fubini's theorem in equation (3.13) and then Plancherel's theorem on the inner integral, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \eta, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = \left\langle \eta \mid \overline{\widehat{\varphi}} \right\rangle = \langle \eta \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}\overline{\varphi} \rangle &= \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot \overline{[W_\psi(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\overline{\varphi})](y,h)}\, \mathrm{d}y\, \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|} \\
&= \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{u}(\xi') \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi')} \cdot \overline{\overline{\varphi}(\xi') \cdot \overline{\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi')}}\, \mathrm{d}\xi'\, \mathrm{d}h \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{u}(\xi')\, \varphi(\xi') \cdot \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi')\right|^2 \mathrm{d}h\, \mathrm{d}\xi' \\
&= \langle \widehat{u}, \zeta \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle \widehat{u}, \zeta \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} \rangle \\
&= (P_\zeta u)(\widehat{\varphi}) = \langle P_\zeta u, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of $P_\zeta u$ given in Lemma 3.3 in the last line. Note that the application of Fubini's theorem is justified by the absolute convergence of the integrals proved in observation (1). As this holds for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we conclude $\eta = P_\zeta u$.

To conclude the proof of observation (3), let $\xi' \in C(W,R) \subset \mathcal{O}$ be arbitrary. For any $h \in H$ with $\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi') \neq 0$, we have $h^T\xi' \in \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$ and hence $\xi' \in h^{-T}V \cap C(W,R)$, which means $h \in K_o(W,V,R)$. Using the admissibility of ψ, we arrive at

$$\zeta(\xi') = \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi')\right|^2 \mathrm{d}h = \int_H \left|\widehat{\psi}(h^T\xi')\right|^2 \mathrm{d}h = 1.$$

Finally, for the proof of statement (4), we define the auxiliary function

$$\kappa : B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x) \to \mathbb{C},\ z \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot (\pi(y,h)\psi)(z)\, \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|}\, \mathrm{d}y.$$

In other words, κ is obtained by pointwise evaluation of the integral defining η weakly in equation (3.11). Let us first prove that κ is well-defined and smooth on $B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$. To this end, we set

$$\kappa_1(z) := \int_{B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot (\pi(y,h)\psi)(z)\, \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|}\, \mathrm{d}y$$

and $\kappa_2 := \kappa - \kappa_1$.

We want to show that integration and partial differentiation (with respect to z) are interchangeable. For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we use the chain rule and Lemma 2.8(c), as well as Hadamard's inequality $|\det(g)| \leq \|g\|^d$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial^\beta|_{z'=z} \left(z' \mapsto |\det(h)|^{-1} \cdot [\pi(y,h)\psi](z')\right)\right| \\
&= |\det(h)|^{-3/2} \cdot \left|\partial^\beta|_{z'=z} \left(z' \mapsto \psi\left(h^{-1}(z'-y)\right)\right)\right| \\
&\leq C(\beta) \cdot \|h\|^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_1 d} \cdot \left(1 + \left\|h^{-1}\right\|\right)^{|\beta|} \cdot \max_{|\sigma| \leq |\beta|} \left|(\partial^\sigma \psi)\left(h^{-1}(z-y)\right)\right| \\
&\leq C'(\beta) \cdot |\psi|_{|\beta|+N} \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1\left(|\beta| + \frac{3}{2}d\right)} \cdot \left(1 + \left|h^{-1}(z-y)\right|\right)^{-N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the partial derivatives of the integrand in the definition of κ can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial^{\beta}|_{z'=z}\left(z' \mapsto W_{\psi}u\,(y,h)\cdot|\det(h)|^{-1}\cdot[\pi\,(y,h)\,\psi]\,(z')\right)\right| \\ &\leq |\psi|_{|\beta|+N}\,C'\,(\beta)\cdot|W_{\psi}u\,(y,h)|\cdot\|h\|^{-\alpha_1\left(|\beta|+\frac{3}{2}d\right)}\cdot\left(1+\left|h^{-1}\,(z-y)\right|\right)^{-N}. \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for the treatment of κ_1 we can additionally employ the assumption concerning the decay of $W_{\psi}u$ (cf. equation (3.10)), namely

$$|W_{\psi}u(y,h)| \leq C_M\|h\|^M$$

for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $y \in B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$ and $h \in K_o(W,V,R)$. Together with the trivial bound $\left(1+\left|h^{-1}\,(z-y)\right|\right)^{-N} \leq 1$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial^{\beta}|_{z'=z}\left(z' \mapsto W_{\psi}u\,(y,h)\cdot|\det(h)|^{-1}\cdot[\pi\,(y,h)\,\psi]\,(z')\right)\right| \\ &\leq |\psi|_{|\beta|+N}\,C_M C'\,(\beta)\cdot\|h\|^{M-\alpha_1\left(|\beta|+\frac{3}{2}d\right)}. \qquad (3.16)\end{aligned}$$

Recall from Definition 2.5(b) that

$$\int_{K_o(W,V,R)}\|h\|^{\gamma}\,\mathrm{d}h \leq \int_{K_o(W_0,V,R_0)}\|h\|^{\gamma}\,\mathrm{d}h$$

is finite for $\gamma=\alpha_2$. Using the boundedness of $\|h\|$ on $K_o\,(W_0,V,R_0)$ (cf. Lemma 2.8(c)), we see that $\|h\|^{\gamma}$ can be estimated by (a constant multiple of) $\|h\|^{\delta}$ for $\gamma \geq \delta$. Together, we see that the right-hand side of equation (3.16) is independent of $z \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}\,(x)$ and of $y \in B_{\varepsilon_1}\,(x)$ and integrable over $(y,h) \in B_{\varepsilon_1}\,(x) \times K_o\,(W,V,R)$, as soon as

$$M-\alpha_1\left(|\beta|+\frac{3}{2}d\right) \geq \alpha_2.$$

But $M \in \mathbb{N}$ can be chosen arbitrarily, so that κ_1 is well-defined and smooth with absolute convergence of the integral.

Finally, in order to prove smoothness of κ_2, we first employ Lemma 3.1(b) together with Lemma 2.8(c) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|W_{\psi}u(y,h)| &\leq C\cdot|\det(h)|^{-1/2}\,(1+\|h^{-1}\|)^M\cdot\max\left\{1,\|h\|^M\right\}\cdot(1+|y|)^M \\ &\leq C'\,(u)\cdot\|h\|^{-\alpha_1 d/2}\cdot\|h\|^{-M\alpha_1}\cdot(1+|y|)^M\end{aligned}$$

for suitable $M=M\,(u) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C=C\,(u,\psi)>0$.

On the other hand, $|z-y| = \left|hh^{-1}\,(z-y)\right| \leq \|h\|\cdot\left(1+\left|h^{-1}\,(z-y)\right|\right)$, which implies

$$\left(1+\left|h^{-1}\,(z-y)\right|\right)^{-N} \leq \|h\|^N\cdot|z-y|^{-N}.$$

Note that $z \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$, whereas in the definition of κ_2, we integrate over $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$. This entails for all relevant y the estimate

$$\|h\|^N\cdot|z-y|^{-N} \leq \|h\|^N\cdot(|y-x|-\varepsilon_1/2)^{-N}.$$

We substitute these estimates into equation (3.15) and recall that the norm $\|h\|$ is bounded on $K_o(W,V,R)$ (cf. Lemma 2.8(c)), to obtain the following inequality, uniform with respect to $z \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial^{\beta}|_{z'=z}\left(z' \mapsto W_{\psi}u\,(y,h)\cdot|\det(h)|^{-1}\cdot[\pi\,(y,h)\,\psi]\,(z')\right)\right| \\ &\leq C''\,(u,\beta)\cdot|\psi|_{|\beta|+N}\cdot\|h\|^{N-\alpha_1(M+|\beta|+2d)}\cdot(1+|y|)^M\left(|y-x|-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right)^{-N}. \qquad (3.17)\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, we can ensure that both

$$\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x) \to \mathbb{R},\ y \mapsto (1+|y|)^M \cdot \left(|y-x| - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right)^{-N}$$

and

$$K_o(W,V,R) \to \mathbb{R}, h \mapsto \|h\|^{N-\alpha_1(M+|\beta|+2d)}$$

become integrable; for the latter, we use the same reasoning as for κ_1. But this establishes (well-definedness and) smoothness of κ_2, and thus of κ.

Finally, whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}\,\mathrm{d}z &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\varphi(z)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot [\pi(y,h)\,\psi](z)\ \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{K_o(W,V,R)} W_\psi u(y,h) \cdot \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\varphi(z)} \cdot [\pi(y,h)\,\psi](z)\ \mathrm{d}z}_{=\overline{W_\psi \varphi(y,h)}} \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|\det(h)|}\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \langle \eta \mid \varphi \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

as a comparison with equation (3.13) shows.

This computation hinges on the applicability of Fubini's theorem in the second line, which is justified because φ is bounded and has support in $B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$, so that the integral over $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is actually an integral over $z \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x)$. But equations (3.16) and (3.17) (with $\beta = 0$) show that the integrand can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&|\varphi(z) \cdot (W_\psi u)(y,h) \cdot [\pi(y,h)\,\psi](z)| \,/\, |\det(h)| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \|\varphi\|_\infty |\psi|_N C_M C' \cdot \|h\|^{M-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_1 d}, & y \in B_{\varepsilon_1}(x), \\ C''(u) \cdot \|\varphi\|_\infty |\psi|_N \cdot \|h\|^{N-\alpha_1(M+2d)} \cdot (1+|y|)^M \left(|y-x| - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right)^{-N}, & y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x), \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand sides are integrable (for sufficiently large $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$) over the ranges $(z,y,h) \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x) \times B_{\varepsilon_1}(x) \times K_o(W,V,R)$ and $(z,y,h) \in B_{\varepsilon_1/2}(x) \times \left(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(x)\right) \times K_o(W,V,R)$, respectively.

Thus, observation (4) is established, and the proof of part (b) is complete. □

4. Wavelet characterizations of regular directed points

We already remarked that Theorem 3.5 is not a characterization in the strict sense, since the sufficient and necessary conditions in terms of wavelet coefficient decay refer to different sets. In this section, we consider different possible ways of closing this gap. All of them hinge on inclusions of the type

$$K_o(W',V',R') \subset K_i(W,V,R)$$

which allow to transfer a decay condition of the kind provided by the necessary criterion in Theorem 3.5(a) to one of the sort required in Theorem 3.5(b). This might necessitate changing the wavelet ψ.

The following definition contains several distinct conditions which will be seen to allow wavelet characterizations.

Definition 4.1. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

(a) Let $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of subsets $\varnothing \neq V_n \Subset \mathcal{O}$ and with $V_{n+1} \subset V_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The dual action has the **weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at** ξ if for all ξ-neighborhoods $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and all $R > 0$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as well as $R' > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$K_o(W',V_n,R') \subset K_i(W,V_n,R). \tag{4.1}$$

The dual action has the **global weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property**, if it has the weak-$\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at all $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

(b) Let $\varnothing \neq V_0 \Subset \mathcal{O}$. The dual action has the **V_0-cone approximation property at ξ** if for all ξ-neighborhoods $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and all $R > 0$ there are $R' > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$K_o(W', V_0, R') \subset K_i(W, V_0, R).$$

The dual action has the **global V_0-cone approximation property** if it has the V_0-cone approximation property at ξ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

Remark. Note that the V_0-cone approximation property is the same as the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property, for the sequence $\mathcal{V} = (V_0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. The purpose of the family $\mathcal{V}$ is to allow the possibility that the family of sets $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can get "arbitrarily small".

If we avoid mention of the set V_0, we also call the V_0-cone approximation property the **strong cone approximation property** to distinguish it from the weak ($\mathcal{V}$-)cone approximation property.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the inclusion properties for $K_{i/o}$ observed in Remark 2.4.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that the dual action has the V_0-cone approximation property at ξ. Then for all open $\varnothing \neq V \subset V_0$, all ξ-neighborhoods $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and all $R > 0$, there exist $R' > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ such that*

$$K_o(W', V, R') \subset K_i(W, V, R).$$

In other words, the dual action has the V-cone approximation property at ξ for every open $\varnothing \neq V \subset V_0$.

Also, if the inclusion in equation (4.1) *is valid for some $n = n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, it automatically holds for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Proof. Simply note $K_o(W', V, R') \subset K_o(W', V_0, R') \subset K_i(W, V_0, R) \subset K_i(W, V, R)$ for suitable R', W'. The proof regarding the inclusion (4.1) is completely analogous (because the sequence $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing). □

Remark 4.3. The different versions of the cone approximation property can be motivated as follows: Our aim is to characterize regular directed points by the decay of wavelet coefficients corresponding to "scales" contained in the set $K_o(W, V, R)$. As pointed out above, the inclusion property $K_o(W', V', R') \subset K_i(W, V, R)$ is a means of closing the gap between necessary and sufficient conditions in Theorem 3.5. The weak cone approximation property is tailored to guarantee multiple wavelet characterizations, and it can be read as a mathematically precise way of saying that the system using multiple wavelets sucessfully adapts to varying cone apertures.

By contrast, the strong cone approximation property can be understood informally as an *increase of the angular resolution in the wavelet system with decreasing scales* (i.e., increasing frequencies). This phenomenon is intricately linked to anisotropy, and it has been commented on in the context of shearlets, curvelets, etc. Our definition provides a rigourous and workable description of this property.

The cone approximation property is, however (at least potentially), *direction dependent*; currently, it seems possible that the set V_0 may be required to vary nontrivially across $\mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$. Thus, if one is interested in simultaneously characterizing all directed points (x, ξ), with arbitrary $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ using a *single* wavelet, the global strong cone approximation property is the natural prerequisite. Note that similar considerations apply to the other technical condition, microlocal admissibility, which we also need to control globally.

We finally remark that Lemmas 2.7 and 4.5 (will) show that the pathological behaviour that V_0 has to vary with $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ can not occur in the single orbit case.

The following lemma gives a rigorous formulation of the fact that the V_0-cone approximation property can indeed only hold for "anisotropic groups", as the above remark already indicated. It shows that in order to fulfil the strong cone approximation property, the group is not allowed to contain nontrivial positive scalar dilations.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0$ is a single open orbit and let $\varnothing \neq V_0 \Subset \mathcal{O}$. Assume that $H \cap (0,\infty)\cdot \mathrm{id}$ is nontrivial and that $d \geq 2$.*

Then the dual action does not *have the (strong) V_0-cone approximation property at ξ, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.*

Proof. Assume towards a contradiction that the dual action has the V_0-cone approximation property at ξ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

Let $\eta \in V_0 \subset \mathcal{O}$ be arbitrary. Because $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0$ is a single orbit, we have $\eta \in \mathcal{O} = H^T\xi$ and thus $h_\xi^T \xi = \eta \in V_0$ for some $h_\xi \in H$.

Define $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \to S^{d-1}, x \mapsto \frac{x}{|x|}$ and note that $\Phi(rx) = \Phi(x)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^*$. If $\Phi\left(\left[h_\xi^{-T} V_0\right] \setminus \{0\}\right)$ had at most one element $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, this would imply

$$h_\xi^{-T} V_0 \subset \mathbb{R}x$$

in contradiction to the fact that $h_\xi^{-T} V_0$ is a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$. Hence, there are $x, y \in \Phi\left(\left[h_\xi^{-T} V_0\right] \setminus \{0\}\right)$ with $x \neq y$. Let $s := |x - y| > 0$.

Let $R := 1$ and $W := B_{s/2}(\xi) \cap S^{d-1}$. By assumption, the dual action has the V_0-cone approximation property at ξ, which yields a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ and some $R' > 0$ with

$$K_o\left(W', V_0, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W, V_0, R\right).$$

By assumption on H, the subgroup $H \cap (0,\infty)\cdot \mathrm{id}$ is nontrivial. This ensures existence of some $\alpha \in (0, (1+R')^{-1})$ with $\alpha \cdot \mathrm{id} \in H$. But $\xi \in h_\xi^{-T} V_0$ by choice of h_ξ and furthermore $\xi \in W'$, which implies $\alpha^{-1}\xi \in C(W')$. Finally, $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ implies $\left|\alpha^{-1}\xi\right| = \alpha^{-1} > 1 + R' > R'$. All in all, we arrive at

$$\alpha^{-1}\xi \in \left(\alpha h_\xi\right)^{-T} V_0 \cap C\left(W', R'\right) \neq \varnothing.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha h_\xi \in K_o\left(W', V_0, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W, V_0, R\right).$$

By definition of $K_i(W, V_0, R)$, this means

$$\alpha^{-1} \cdot h_\xi^{-T} V_0 = \left(\alpha h_\xi\right)^{-T} V_0 \subset C\left(W, R\right) \subset C\left(W\right).$$

Using the definition of $C(W)$ and of Φ, we see

$$x, y \in \Phi\left(\left[h_\xi^{-T} V_0\right] \setminus \{0\}\right) = \Phi\left(\left[\alpha^{-1} \cdot h_\xi^{-T} V_0\right] \setminus \{0\}\right) \subset W = B_{s/2}(\xi) \cap S^{d-1}.$$

Thus, $s = |x - y| \leq |x - \xi| + |\xi - y| < \frac{s}{2} + \frac{s}{2} = s$, a contradiction. □

In the case that $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0$ is a single open orbit, we saw in Lemma 2.7 that it suffices to check the V-microlocal admissibility at a single $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O}$. The same is true for the cone approximation properties, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $\mathcal{O} = H^T \xi_0$ is a single open orbit and let $\varnothing \neq V_0 \Subset \mathcal{O}$.*

If the dual action has the V_0-cone approximation property at ξ_1 for some $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$, then the dual action has the global V_0-cone approximation property.

Similarly, if the dual action has the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ_1 for some $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$, then the dual action has the global weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property.

Proof. In view of the remark following Definition 4.1, it suffices to consider the weak case. We start with the following observation: For each $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and each ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$, there is some $\delta_{\xi,W} > 0$ such that $\frac{v}{|v|}$ is a (well-defined) element of W for all $v \in B_{\delta_{\xi,W}}(\xi)$. To see this, observe that $B_1(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is open and that the map

$$\Phi : B_1(\xi) \to S^{d-1}, v \mapsto \frac{v}{|v|}$$

is continuous with $\Phi(\xi) = \xi \in W$. Hence, $\Phi^{-1}(W) \subset B_1(\xi)$ is open with $\xi \in \Phi^{-1}(W)$, which implies the existence of $\delta_{\xi,W}$.

Now, assume that the dual action has the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ_1. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ be arbitrary. Choose an arbitrary ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and some $R > 0$. By assumption, $\mathcal{O}$ is a single orbit, so that $\xi = h_\xi^T \xi_1$ holds for some $h_\xi \in H$.

Let $R_1 := R \cdot \|h_\xi^{-1}\|$ and $W_1 := \left[h_\xi^{-T} \cdot B_{\delta_{\xi,W}}(\xi)\right] \cap S^{d-1}$. Note that W_1 is indeed a neighborhood of ξ_1. The weak cone-approximation property yields some $R_1' > 0$, a ξ_1-neighborhood $W_1' \subset S^{d-1}$ as well as $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$K_o\left(W_1', V_n, R_1'\right) \subset K_i(W_1, V_n, R_1).$$

Finally, let $R' := \|h_\xi\| \cdot R_1'$ and $W' := \left[h_\xi^T \cdot B_{\delta_{\xi_1,W_1'}}(\xi_1)\right] \cap S^{d-1}$. Observe that W' is indeed a ξ-neighborhood. It remains to prove the inclusion

$$K_o\left(W', V_n, R'\right) \subset K_i(W, V_n, R).$$

To this end, let $h \in K_o(W', V_n, R')$ be arbitrary. By definition, this yields some $v \in V_n$ with $h^{-T} v \in C(W', R')$ and hence $\left|h^{-T} v\right| > R'$ as well as

$$h^{-T} v = r \cdot w' = r \cdot h_\xi^T w \qquad \text{for some } w \in B_{\delta_{\xi_1,W_1'}}(\xi_1),$$

and thus $(h_\xi h)^{-T} v = r \cdot w$.

On the one hand, we can use submultiplicativity of the norm to derive

$$\|h_\xi\| \cdot R_1' = R' < \left|h^{-T} v\right| = \left|h_\xi^T \cdot h_\xi^{-T} h^{-T} v\right| \leq \|h_\xi\| \cdot \left|(h_\xi h)^{-T} v\right|$$

and on the other hand, the choice of $\delta_{\xi_1,W_1'}$ implies

$$(h_\xi h)^{-T} v = r\,|w| \cdot \frac{w}{|w|} \in (0,\infty) \cdot W_1' \subset C\left(W_1'\right).$$

Together, these considerations show

$$(h_\xi h)^{-T} v \in \left[(h_\xi h)^{-T} \cdot V_n\right] \cap C\left(W_1', R_1'\right) \neq \varnothing$$

and hence $h_\xi h \in K_o(W_1', V_n, R_1') \subset K_i(W_1, V_n, R_1)$, which implies

$$h_\xi^{-T} h^{-T} V_n = (h_\xi h)^{-T} V_n \subset C(W_1, R_1) \qquad \text{and hence} \qquad h^{-T} V_n \subset h_\xi^T \cdot C(W_1, R_1),$$

so that it suffices to prove $h_\xi^T \cdot C(W_1, R_1) \subset C(W, R)$.

To this end, let $x \in C(W_1, R_1)$ be arbitrary. This means $|x| > R_1$ and $x = r \cdot w$ for some $r > 0$ and $w \in W_1 = \left[h_\xi^{-T} \cdot B_{\delta_{\xi,W}}(\xi)\right] \cap S^{d-1}$. Hence, $v := h_\xi^T w \in B_{\delta_{\xi,W}}(\xi)$, so that the definition of $\delta_{\xi,W}$ yields $\frac{v}{|v|} \in W$ and thus

$$h_\xi^T x = r \cdot h_\xi^T w = r\,|v| \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} \in C(W).$$

Finally,

$$R \cdot \|h_\xi^{-1}\| = R_1 < |x| = |h_\xi^{-T} \cdot h_\xi^T x| \leq \|h_\xi^{-T}\| \cdot |h_\xi^T x| = \|h_\xi^{-1}\| \cdot |h_\xi^T x|,$$

which implies $|h_\xi^T x| > R$ and thus $h_\xi^T x \in C(W, R)$. As $x \in C(W_1, R_1)$ was arbitrary, the proof is complete. □

We can now formulate our main result, a wavelet characterization of the wavefront set.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $H \leq \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a matrix group fulfilling the assumptions 2.1.*

(a) Assume that the dual action of H has the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation at $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$, for some nonincreasing family $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\varnothing \neq V_n \Subset \mathcal{O}$, and in addition that the dual action is V_{n_0}-microlocally admissible in direction ξ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Furthermore, assume the existence of a family $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of admissible Schwartz functions satisfying $\mathrm{supp}\,(\widehat{\psi_n}) \subset V_n$.

Then, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following are equivalent:

(1) (x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u,

(2) there is some ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$, some $R > 0$ and some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of x, as well as some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all *$n \geq n_1$, the following holds:*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_o(W, V_n, R) \;:\; |W_{\psi_n} u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N,$$

(3) there is some *$n \geq n_0$, a neighborhood U of x, some $R > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_o(W, V_n, R) \;:\; |W_{\psi_n} u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N.$$

If the dual action is globally V_{n_0}-microlocally admissible for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and has the global weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property for some nonincreasing family $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, then the same sequence of wavelets $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be used to simultaneously characterize (in the sense described above) all regular directed points (x, ξ) with $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ arbitrary.

(b) Assume that the dual action is V-microlocally admissible in direction $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ and has the V-cone approximation property at ξ for some $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$. Then for all admissible $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathrm{supp}\,(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following equivalence holds, for all $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

(x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u iff there exists a neighborhood U of x, some $R > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_o(W, V, R) \;:\; |W_\psi u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N.$$

If the dual action is globally V-microlocally admissible and has the global V-cone approximation property, then the same wavelet ψ can be used to simultaneously characterize all regular directed points (x, ξ), with $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ arbitrary.

Proof. We first prove part (a). For "(1)⇒(2)", assume that (x, ξ) is a regular directed point of u. By Theorem 3.5(a), there is some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of x, some $R > 0$ and some

ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$, such that for each admissible $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V_{n_0}$, the following is true:

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_i(W, \widehat{\psi}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\right), R) \;:\; |W_\psi u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N . \tag{4.2}$$

The weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property yields some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as $R' > 0$ and some ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ such that the inclusion

$$K_o(W', V_{n_1}, R') \subset K_i(W, V_{n_1}, R)$$

is valid. By Lemma 4.2, this implies

$$K_o\left(W', V_n, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W, V_n, R\right)$$

for all $n \geq n_1$. Now let $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}$ be arbitrary. This implies $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi_n}) \subset V_n \subset V_{n_0}$, so that equation (4.2) is valid for ψ_n instead of ψ. But because of the inclusion properties for K_i (cf. Remark 2.4), and because of $\widehat{\psi_n}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi_n}) \subset V_n$, we have

$$K_o\left(W', V_n, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W, V_n, R\right) \subset K_i\left(W, \widehat{\psi_n}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\right), R\right),$$

which yields the desired decay estimate (with W' for W and R' for R). It should be observed that U, W' and R' indeed do *not* depend on the particular $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as long as $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}$.

The implication "(2)⇒(3)" is immediate.

Finally, "(3)⇒(1)" is a consequence of Theorem 3.5(b), because V_{n_0}-microlocal admissibility (in direction ξ) implies V_n-microlocal admissibility (in direction ξ) for $n \geq n_0$ (cf. Remark 2.6).

Part (b) is a special case of part (a), since the V-cone approximation property is a special case of the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property, with $\mathcal{V} = (V)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which enables us to use $\psi_n = \psi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The statements about simultaneous characterizations are clear. □

As a further interesting benefit of the weak cone approximation property, we note that it also simplifies the verification of microlocal admissibility, at least in the single orbit case.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{O} = H^T \xi_0$ be an open orbit of H with associated compact stabilizers and assume that the dual action of H has the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at $\xi \in S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ for some (nonincreasing) family $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.*

Furthermore, assume that there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V_n fulfils condition (a) of Definition 2.5 at ξ, i.e. there exists a ξ-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$, some $R_0 > 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\|h^{-1}\right\| \leq C \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1}$$

holds for all $h \in K_o(W_0, V_n, R_0)$.

Then there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the dual action of H is globally V_n-microlocally admissible for all $n \geq n_0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, it suffices to show that the there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the dual action of H is V_n-microlocally admissible *in direction* ξ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V_{n_1} fulfils condition (a) of Definition 2.5 (as in the statement of the lemma). Since the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ holds, there is some $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, some $R' > 0$ as well as some ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$K_o\left(W', V_{n_2}, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W_0, V_{n_2}, R_0\right).$$

For $n \geq n_0 := \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} K_o\left(W', V_n, R'\right) &\subset K_o\left(W', V_{n_2}, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W_0, V_{n_2}, R_0\right) \\ &\subset K_i\left(W_0, V_n, R_0\right) \subset K_o\left(W_0, V_{n_1}, R_0\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 2.8(b) implies that there are constants $\alpha, C > 0$ such that $\|h\| \leq C \cdot \left|h^{-T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha}$ holds for all $\eta_0 \in V_n \subset V_{n_1}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_o(W',V_n,R')} \|h\|^{\alpha_2} \,\mathrm{d}h &\leq C \cdot \int_{K_o(W',V_n,R')} \left|h^{-T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \,\mathrm{d}h \\ &\leq C \cdot \int_{K_i(W_0,V_n,R_0)} \left|h^{-T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \,\mathrm{d}h \\ &= C \cdot \int_{K_i(W_0,V_n,R_0)^{-1}} \left|h^{T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \cdot \Delta_H(h)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}h \\ &= C \cdot \int_{K_i(W_0,V_n,R_0)^{-1}} \left|h^{T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1} \cdot \Delta_G(h)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}h. \end{aligned}$$

Here, Δ_G and Δ_H denote the modular functions of H and G, which are related by the fact that Δ_G is constant on the cosets of the translation subgroup (and thus can be considered as a function on H), and by the relation $\Delta_G(h) = \Delta_H(h) \cdot |\det(h)|^{-1}$.

Since $K_i(W_0, V_n, R_0)^{-1} \subset K_o(W_0, V_{n_1}, R_0)^{-1}$, Lemma 2.8(c) – together with Hadamard's inequality – implies that $|\det(h)|^{-1} = \left|\det\left(h^{-1}\right)\right| \leq \left\|h^{-1}\right\|^d$ is bounded on $K_i(W_0, V_n, R_0)^{-1}$. Hence we may continue the estimates via

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots &\leq C' \cdot \int_{K_i(W_0,V_n,R_0)^{-1}} \left|h^{T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \cdot \Delta_G(h)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}h \\ &\leq C' \cdot \int_H \chi_{C(W_0,R_0)}\left(h^T\eta_0\right) \cdot \left|h^{T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \cdot \Delta_G(h)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}h\,, \end{aligned}$$

since $h \in K_i(W_0, V_n, R_0)^{-1}$ entails $h^T V_n \subset C(W_0, R_0)$, and thus $\chi_{C(W_0,R_0)}(h^T\eta_0) = 1$.

Furthermore, we recall from [8] that we may write, for any Borel-measurable $F : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} F(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi = \frac{1}{c_0} \cdot \int_H F(h^T\eta_0) \cdot \Delta_G(h)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}h$$

for some fixed $c_0 > 0$. In the present setting, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \chi_{C(W_0,R_0)}\left(h^T\eta_0\right) \cdot \left|h^{T}\eta_0\right|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \cdot \Delta_G(h)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}h &= c_0 \cdot \int_{C(W_0,R_0)} |\xi|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \,\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &\leq c_0 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{B_{R_0}}(0)} |\xi|^{-\alpha\alpha_2} \,\mathrm{d}\xi < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as soon as $-\alpha\alpha_2 < -d$, i.e. $\alpha_2 > \frac{d}{\alpha}$. This shows that part (b) of Definition 2.5 is satisfied for V_n as soon as $n \geq n_0$. Part (a) trivially follows from the assumptions together with equation (4.3).

This establishes V_n-microlocal admissibility in direction ξ for all $n \geq n_0$. Now transitivity of the action of H yields global microlocal admissibility via Lemma 2.7. □

The following corollaries summarize our results for the important case where $\mathcal{O}$ is a single orbit:

Corollary 4.8. *Assume that $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0$ is an open H-orbit with associated compact stabilizers, and let $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ denote a nonincreasing family of open, relatively compact subsets of $\mathcal{O}$. Assume that the dual action of H fulfils, for some $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ the following properties:*

- *the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ_1,*
- *condition 2.5(a), with $V = V_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a suitable $R_0 > 0$ and a ξ_1-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1}$.*

Pick any sequence $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of admissible wavelets with $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi_n}) \subset V_n$. Then, there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following statements are equivalent, for all $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$

(1) (x,ξ) is a regular directed point of u,
(2) there is some ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$, some $R > 0$ and some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of x, as well as some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all *$n \geq n_1$, the following holds:*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_o(W, V_n, R) \;:\; |W_{\psi_n} u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N ,$$

(3) there is some *$n \geq n_0$, a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of x, some $R > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall y \in U\, \forall h \in K_o(W, V_n, R) \;:\; |W_{\psi_n} u(y,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N .$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.6(a), if we observe that Lemma 4.5 implies that H has the global weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property and that Lemma 4.7 yields some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the dual action of H is globally V_n-microlocally admissible for all $n \geq n_0$ (and hence in particular for $n = n_0$). □

If we apply this corollary for the case $\mathcal{V} = (V)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (\psi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we get the following more convenient version (if the dual action fulfils the strong cone approximation property).

Corollary 4.9. *Assume that $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0$ is an open H-orbit with associated compact stabilizers and let $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$.*
Assume that the dual action of H fulfils, for some $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$, the following properties:

- *the (strong) V-cone approximation property at ξ_1,*
- *part (a) of Definition 2.5.*

Then for each admissible $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset V$, each tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ and each $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \left(\mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}\right)$, the following are equivalent:

(1) (x,ξ) is a regular directed point of u,
(2) there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of x, some $R > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall h \in K_o\left(W, V, R\right) : \quad |W_\psi u\left(y,h\right)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N .$$

In Lemma 4.4, we showed that a dilation group H can never fulfil the (strong) V_0-cone approximation property if it is isotropic in the sense that the group $H \cap [(0,\infty) \cdot \mathrm{id}]$ is nontrivial. In this case, our methods (in particular Corollary 4.8) only yield a characterization of the wavefront set using *multiple* wavelets.

We will now show that this is not a defect of our method of proof; indeed, isotropic groups (in the sense described above) can never yield a characterization of the wavefront set (in the sense of Corollary 4.9) using only a *single* wavelet, at least as long as one is allowed to choose the wavelet freely, only subject to a condition on the Fourier support.

Lemma 4.10. *Assume that $d \geq 2$ and that $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open H-orbit with associated compact stabilizers. Furthermore, assume that there is some $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$ and some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as well as some $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ such that the following holds:*

For every distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ *with regular directed point* (x,ξ) *and* every *admissible* $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ *with* $\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{\psi}\right) \subset V$ *there exists an open* ξ*-neighborhood* $W \subset S^{d-1}$ *and some* $R > 0$ *such that*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall h \in K_o(W,V,R): \qquad |(W_\psi u)(x,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N. \tag{4.4}$$

Then H *is "anisotropic" in the sense that* $H \cap [(0,\infty)\cdot \mathrm{id}] = \{\mathrm{id}\}$.

Remark. The proof will show that it actually suffices to assume that there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N > \frac{d}{2} - 1$ such that

$$|(W_\psi u)(x,h)| \leq C_{N,\psi,u} \cdot \|h\|^N$$

holds for all $h \in K_o(\{\xi\}, V, R)$ and all admissible $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{\psi}\right) \subset V$.

Proof. Observe that $\pi(x,h)\,\psi = L_x D_h \psi$ with $(L_x f)(y) = f(y-x)$ and

$$(D_h f)(y) = |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \cdot f\left(h^{-1} y\right).$$

Hence, the assumption is also satisfied with 0 instead of x, because if the distribution $v \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ has the regular directed point $(0,\xi)$, then there is some open ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ as well as $\varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ on some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of the origin such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists C_N > 0\, \forall \eta \in C\left(W'\right): \qquad |\widehat{\varphi v}(\eta)| \leq C_N \cdot (1+|\eta|)^{-N}.$$

But then $\gamma := L_x \varphi \equiv 1$ on the neighborhood $x + U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of x and $u := L_x v$ satisfies $\gamma u = L_x(\varphi v)$ as well as

$$|\widehat{\gamma u}(\eta)| = \left|\widehat{L_x(\varphi v)}(\eta)\right| = \left|e^{-2\pi i \langle \eta, x\rangle} \cdot \widehat{\varphi v}(\eta)\right| \leq C_N \cdot (1+|\eta|)^{-N},$$

for all $\eta \in C(W')$, so that u has the regular directed point (x,ξ). By assumption, this yields some ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and some $R > 0$ such that for arbitrary admissible ψ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{\psi}\right) \subset V$, the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|(W_\psi v)(0,h)| &= |(W_\psi (L_{-x} u))(0,h)| \\
&= |\langle L_{-x} u \mid D_h \psi\rangle| \\
&= |\langle u \mid L_x D_h \psi\rangle| = |\langle u \mid \pi(x,h)\,\psi\rangle| \\
&= |(W_\psi u)(x,h)| \leq C_N \cdot \|h\|^N
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $h \in K_o(W,V,R)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the assumption is indeed also satisfied for $x = 0$.

Now, let $\xi_1 \in V \neq \varnothing$ be arbitrary. Using $\xi_1 \in V \subset \mathcal{O}$ as well as $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ and the fact that $\mathcal{O} = H^T \xi_0$ is a single orbit, we see that there is some $h \in H$ fulfilling $h^T \xi_1 = \xi$. This implies that $h^T V$ is open with $\xi \in h^T V \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ (note that $0 \notin \mathcal{O}$ by Lemma 2.8).

Now there is some $\gamma \in h^T V$ with $\xi \notin \operatorname{span}(\{\gamma\})$, because otherwise we would have $0 \neq \xi = \alpha \cdot \gamma$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies $\alpha \neq 0$ and hence $\gamma = \xi/\alpha \in \operatorname{span}(\{\xi\})$ for arbitrary $\gamma \in h^T V$. But this would imply that $h^T V$ is contained in the one-dimensional space $\operatorname{span}(\{\xi\})$, in contradiction to the fact that $h^T V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open with $d \geq 2$.

Hence, we can choose some $\gamma \in h^T V$ with $\xi \notin \operatorname{span}(\{\gamma\})$ and set

$$\mathcal{V} := [\operatorname{span}(\{\gamma\})]^\perp.$$

This implies $\xi \notin \operatorname{span}(\{\gamma\}) = \mathcal{V}^\perp$. Define now $u := \delta_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{V}}(f) := \int_{\mathcal{V}} f(x)\, \mathrm{d}S(x) \qquad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\mathrm{d}S$ is the $(d-1$ dimensional) euclidean surface measure. An application of [**?**, Theorem 8.15] shows that $(0,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \left(S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}\right)$ is a regular directed point of $u = \delta_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Choose some $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with $\widehat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(V)$, $\widehat{\psi} \geq 0$ as well as $\widehat{\psi}\left(h^{-T}\gamma\right) > 0$ and

$$\int_H \left|\widehat{\psi}\left(g^T\gamma\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}g = 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that such a choice is possible because of $h^{-T}\gamma \in h^{-T}h^T V \subset V$, where $V \subset \mathcal{O}$ is open. This implies that all conditions except for equation (4.5) can be fulfilled. But these conditions already imply $\int_H \left|\widehat{\psi}\left(g^T\gamma\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}g > 0$ because the integrand is continuous and nonnegative with $\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(\left(h^{-1}\right)^T\gamma\right)\right|^2 > 0$. Hence, equation (4.5) can be achieved by rescaling. The discussion after Assumption 2.1 shows that ψ is indeed an admissible wavelet.

By assumption, there exists a ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ and some $R > 0$ such that equation (4.4) is fulfilled. Assume towards a contradiction that $H_1 := H \cap [(0,\infty) \cdot \mathrm{id}] \neq \{\mathrm{id}\}$. As $H_1 \leq H$ is a subgroup, this implies that there is a sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $(0,1)$ with $\alpha_n \to 0$ and $\alpha_n \cdot \mathrm{id} \in H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $g := h^{-1} \in H$ and $g_n := \alpha_n g \in H$. Then $g_n^{-T}\xi_1 = \alpha_n^{-1} \cdot h^T\xi_1 = \alpha_n^{-1} \cdot \xi \in C\left(\{\xi\}\right) \subset C(W)$ (because of $\xi \in W$) with

$$\left|g_n^{-T}\xi_1\right| = \left|\alpha_n^{-1} \cdot \xi\right| = \alpha_n^{-1} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \infty.$$

Hence, $g_n^{-T}\xi_1 \in C\left(\{\xi\}, R\right) \subset C(W,R)$ for $n \geq n(R)$ large enough. Because of $\xi_1 \in V$, this implies $g_n \in K_o\left(\{\xi\}, V, R\right) \subset K_o(W,V,R)$ for $n \geq n(R)$ large enough. By equation (4.4), this implies that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some constant $C_N > 0$ such that

$$|W_\psi u(0,g_n)| \leq C_N \cdot \|g_n\|^N = C_N \|g\|^N \cdot \alpha_n^N$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

But

$$\begin{aligned}
(W_\psi u)(0,g_n) &= \langle u \mid \pi(0,g_n)\,\psi\rangle \\
&= \langle \widehat{u} \mid \mathcal{F}(\pi(0,g_n)\,\psi)\rangle \\
&\overset{\text{Gl. (1.3)}}{=} |\det(g_n)|^{1/2} \cdot \left\langle \widehat{\delta_{\mathcal{V}}} \mid e^{-2\pi i\langle 0,\cdot\rangle} \cdot \widehat{\psi}\left(g_n^T\cdot\right)\right\rangle \\
&\overset{(*)}{=} c \cdot \alpha_n^{d/2} \cdot |\det(g)|^{1/2} \cdot \left\langle \delta_{\mathcal{V}^\perp}, \overline{\widehat{\psi}\left(g_n^T\cdot\right)}\right\rangle \\
&\overset{\widehat{\psi}\ \text{real}}{=} c \cdot \alpha_n^{d/2} \cdot |\det(g)|^{1/2} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{V}^\perp} \widehat{\psi}\left(g_n^T\theta\right)\,\mathrm{d}\theta \\
&= c \cdot \alpha_n^{d/2} \cdot |\det(g)|^{1/2} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{V}^\perp} \widehat{\psi}\left(g^T \cdot \alpha_n\theta\right)\,\mathrm{d}\theta \\
&\overset{\varrho=\alpha_n\theta,\ \dim(\mathcal{V}^\perp)=1}{=} c \cdot \alpha_n^{d/2} \cdot |\det(g)|^{1/2} \cdot \alpha_n^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{V}^\perp} \widehat{\psi}\left(g^T\varrho\right)\,\mathrm{d}\varrho \\
&= C_{d,g,\psi,\mathcal{V}} \cdot \alpha_n^{\frac{d}{2}-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the step marked with $(*)$, we used [**?**, Theorem 7.1.25]. The constant $c > 0$ depends only on $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d-1 = \dim(\mathcal{V})$ and comes from the fact that Hörmander uses a slightly different normalization of the Fourier transform than in this paper.

Observe that the integrand of $\int_{\mathcal{V}^\perp} \widehat{\psi}\left(g^T\varrho\right)\,\mathrm{d}\varrho$ is a non-negative, continuous function which satisfies $\widehat{\psi}\left(g^T\gamma\right) = \widehat{\psi}\left(h^{-T}\gamma\right) > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}^\perp$. Thus, $C_{d,g,\psi,\mathcal{V}} > 0$.

Putting everything together, we arrive at

$$0 < C_{d,g,\psi,\mathcal{V}} = \alpha_n^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \cdot |W_\psi u\,(0, g_n)| \leq C_N \,\|g\|^N \cdot \alpha_n^{N+1-\frac{d}{2}} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0$$

as soon as $N + 1 - \frac{d}{2} > 0$. This is the desired contradiction. □

5. Geometric reformulation of the cone approximation property

The various cone approximation properties (cf. Definition 4.1) are defined in terms of inclusions between sets of the form

$$K_o\,(W, V, R) = \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^{-T}V \cap C\,(W, R) \neq \varnothing\right\},$$
$$K_i\,(W, V, R) = \left\{h \in H \,\middle|\, h^{-T}V \subset C\,(W, R)\right\}$$

which are subsets of the dilation group H.

In this section, we will formulate so-called **geometric cone approximation properties** which replace inclusions of the form

$$K_o\left(W', V, R'\right) \subset K_i\,(W, V, R)$$

by inclusions of the form

$$C_o\left(W', V, R'; H\right) \subset C_i\,(W, V, R; H)\,,$$

where the sets $C_{i/o}$ are subsets of $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, which are therefore more accessible for geometric arguments and geometric intuition (at least for $d \leq 3$). We will then show that these geometric conditions are equivalent to the conditions in Definition 4.1.

Definition 5.1. Let H be a dilation group satisfying Assumption 2.1. Let $W \subset S^{d-1}$ be open and let $\varnothing \neq V \Subset \mathcal{O}$ as well as $R > 0$. Define

$$C_o\,(W, V, R; H) := \bigcup \left\{h^T V \,\middle|\, h \in H \text{ with } h^T V \cap C\,(W, R) \neq \varnothing\right\} = \bigcup \left\{h^{-T} V \,\middle|\, h \in K_o\,(W, V, R)\right\},$$
$$C_i\,(W, V, R; H) := \bigcup \left\{h^T V \,\middle|\, h \in H \text{ with } h^T V \subset C\,(W, R)\right\} = \bigcup \left\{h^{-T} V \,\middle|\, h \in K_i\,(W, V, R)\right\}.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.

(a) Let $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a nonincreasing family of subsets $\varnothing \neq V_n \Subset \mathcal{O}$.
The dual action has the **weak geometric $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ** if for all ξ-neighborhoods $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and all $R > 0$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as well as $R' > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$C_o\left(W', V_n, R'; H\right) \subset C_i\,(W, V_n, R; H)\,.$$

(b) Let $\varnothing \neq V_0 \Subset \mathcal{O}$. The dual action has the **geometric V_0-cone approximation property at ξ** if for all ξ-neighborhoods $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and all $R > 0$ there are $R' > 0$ and a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ such that

$$C_o\left(W', V_0, R'; H\right) \subset C_i\,(W, V_0, R; H)\,.$$

Again, note that the geometric cone approximation property is a special case of its weak sibling. We now observe some simple implications between inclusions of the sets $C_{i/o}$ and inclusions of the sets $K_{i/o}$, which will then show that the geometric cone approximation properties are indeed equivalent to the earlier defined versions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\varnothing \neq V, V' \Subset \mathcal{O}$ and $W, W' \subset S^{d-1}$ as well as $R, R' > 0$.*

(a) The inclusion $C_o\,(W', V', R'; H) \subset C_i\,(W, V, R; H)$ implies

$$K_o\left(W', V', R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W, V', R\right).$$

(b) *The inclusion* $K_o(W', V', R') \subset K_i(W, V, R)$ *implies*

$$C_o\left(W', V', R'; H\right) \subset C_i(W, V, R; H)$$

as long as $V' \subset V$ *holds.*

(c) *In particular, for each* $\varnothing \neq V_0 \Subset \mathcal{O}$ *and all* $\xi \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$,

(i) *the dual action has the weak* $\mathcal{V}$*-cone approximation property at* ξ *if and only if it has the* geometric *weak* $\mathcal{V}$*-cone approximation property at* ξ.

(ii) *the dual action has the* V_0*-cone approximation property at* ξ *if and only if it has the* geometric V_0*-cone approximation property at* ξ.

Proof. For the first statement, let $h \in K_o(W', V', R')$ be arbitrary. Note that the inclusion $C_i(W, V, R; H) \subset C(W, R)$ is an easy consequence of the definitions. Hence,

$$h^{-T}V' \subset C_o\left(W', V', R'; H\right) \subset C_i(W, V, R; H) \subset C(W, R),$$

which implies $h \in K_i(W, V', R)$.

For the second statement, observe

$$\begin{aligned} C_o\left(W', V', R'; H\right) &= \bigcup \left\{h^{-T}V' \,\middle|\, h \in K_o\left(W', V', R'\right)\right\} \\ &\subset \bigcup \left\{h^{-T}V' \,\middle|\, h \in K_i(W, V, R)\right\} \\ &\subset \bigcup \left\{h^{-T}V \,\middle|\, h \in K_i(W, V, R)\right\} = C_i(W, V, R; H). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $V' \subset V$ was used in the last line.

For the remaining statements, it suffices to consider the weak case. Assume that the dual action has the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ. For any ξ-neighborhood $W \subset S^{d-1}$ and $R > 0$, this yields $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1}$ and some $R' > 0$ such that the inclusion $K_o(W', V_n, R') \subset K_i(W, V_n, R)$ is satisfied. By part (b) (with $V' := V_n \subset V_n =: V$), this yields

$$C_o\left(W', V_n, R'; H\right) \subset C_i(W, V_n, R; H),$$

so that the dual action also has the weak geometric $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property.

The converse is proved analogously via (a) (with $V' = V = V_n$). □

We remark that it is also possible to introduce global versions of the geometric cone approximation properties, and to extend the equivalence to the global versions.

6. Examples

In this section, we discuss various examples of dilation groups, and verify the technical conditions introduced in the paper (wherever this is possible). All the examples considered below belong to the irreducible setting, i.e., the dilation group acts with a single open orbit and compact stabilizers. Thus Corollary 4.8 applies and yields wavelet characterizations of regular directed points, as soon as the dual action fulfils a certain list of conditions. In the single orbit case, the task of verifying these conditions simplifies considerably:

- The conditions listed in 2.1 are automatically fulfilled.
- In view of Lemmas 2.7 and 4.5, it is sufficient to check microlocal admissibility and the (weak) cone approximation property at a single, conveniently chosen point $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{O} \cap S^{d-1}$.
- With the weak cone approximation property already established, only the first condition of microlocal admissibility needs to be checked, by Lemma 4.7, at least if one is only interested in V_n-microlocal admissibility for n sufficiently large (or if the dual action satisfies the strong cone approximation property).

This task is further simplified by the fact that we may replace (in a suitable sense) the set K_o by the smaller set K_i in this condition, as shown in Lemma 6.1 below.

- Also, there is an easily checked necessary condition for validity of the strong cone approximation property, provided by Lemma 4.4.

The following examples will show that the remaining steps can indeed be carried out in a variety of settings. But first, let us prove the result mentioned above that the set K_o may be replaced by the set K_i in the verification of microlocal admissibility:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{O} = H^T\xi_0$ be an open orbit of H with associated compact stabilizers, let $\xi \in S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ and assume that the dual action of H has the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property at ξ for some nonincreasing family $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\varnothing \neq V_n \Subset \mathcal{O}$.*

Furthermore, assume that there exists a ξ-neighborhood $W_0 \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ and some $R_0 > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are constants $\alpha_n > 0$ and $C_n > 0$ such that

$$\left\|h^{-1}\right\| \leq C_n \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_n}$$

holds for all $h \in K_i(W_0, V_n, R_0)$.

Then there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the dual action of H is globally V_n-microlocally admissible for all $n \geq n_0$.

Remark. In the case of the V_0-cone approximation property, i.e. $\mathcal{V} = (V_0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, this lemma implies that we can indeed replace K_o by K_i for the verification of (the first condition of) microlocal admissibility.

Proof. By the weak cone approximation property (cf. Definition 4.1), there is some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, some ξ-neighborhood $W' \subset S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{O}$ and some $R' > 0$ such that

$$K_o\left(W', V_{n_1}, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W_0, V_{n_1}, R_0\right).$$

By Lemma 4.2, this yields $K_o\left(W', V_n, R'\right) \subset K_i\left(W_0, V_n, R_0\right)$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

Making use of the assumptions, we derive $\left\|h^{-1}\right\| \leq C_n \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_n}$ for all $h \in K_o\left(W', V_n, R'\right)$ and all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It remains to invoke Lemma 4.7 to conclude the proof. □

6.1. **The similitude group.** The similitude group was the first dilation group in higher dimensions for which continuous wavelet transforms were studied [23]; for the study of wavefront sets, it was employed for example in [22, 24]. The group is given by $H = \mathbb{R}^+ \cdot SO\left(d\right)$. We only consider the case $d \geq 2$. In this case, H has the unique open dual orbit $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, on which it acts with compact stabilizers. As it contains all scalar dilations, we know by Lemma 4.4 that the best we can expect of H is the weak cone approximation property. We will now verify this, together with microlocal admissibility. Hence, by Corollary 4.8, H allows a multiple wavelet characterization of regular directed points. This result partly generalizes [24].

6.1.1. *H fulfils the weak cone approximation property.* We write arbitrary elements $h \in H$ as $h = a\vartheta$, with $a > 0$ and $\vartheta \in SO\left(d\right)$. We pick $\xi_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)^T$, and define the sequence $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by $V_n = B_{1/n}(\xi_1)$.

Now let $W \subset S^{d-1}$ be an open neighborhood of ξ_1 and let $R > 0$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ with $B_\epsilon(\xi_1) \cap S^{d-1} \subset W$. Let $W' = B_{\epsilon'}(\xi_1) \cap S^{d-1}$, $R' > 0$ and $n \geq 2$; our aim is to specify ϵ', R', n (only depending on ε, R) in a way that ensures $K_o(W', V_n, R') \subset K_i(W, V_n, R)$.

Hence, assume that $h = a\vartheta \in K_o(W', V_n, R')$. This ensures existence of some $\xi \in V_n$ with $a^{-1}\vartheta\xi \in C(W', R')$ because of $\vartheta^{-T} = \vartheta$.

In particular, $R' < |a^{-1}\vartheta\xi|$, which entails via $\xi \in V_n$ that

$$a^{-1} > \frac{R'}{1 + 1/n}\ .$$

For $\xi' \in V_n$ arbitrary, we then obtain

$$|a^{-1}\vartheta\xi'| \geq a^{-1}(1-1/n) > \frac{1-1/n}{1+1/n}R' = \frac{n-1}{n+1}R' \ . \tag{6.1}$$

Furthermore, the fact that $a^{-1}\vartheta\xi \in C(W', R')$ implies

$$\frac{\vartheta\xi}{|\xi|} = \frac{a^{-1}\vartheta\xi}{|a^{-1}\vartheta\xi|} \in W' = B_{\epsilon'}(\xi_1) \cap S^{d-1} \ .$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\vartheta\xi}{|\xi|} - \frac{\vartheta\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right| &\leq \frac{|\vartheta(\xi-\xi')|}{|\xi|} + \left|\vartheta\xi'\right|\left|\frac{1}{|\xi'|} - \frac{1}{|\xi|}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\,|\xi-\xi'|}{|\xi|} \leq 4\frac{1/n}{1-1/n} = \frac{4}{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$\left|\xi_1 - \frac{a^{-1}\vartheta\xi'}{|a^{-1}\vartheta\xi'|}\right| \leq \left|\xi_1 - \frac{\vartheta\xi}{|\xi|}\right| + \frac{4}{n-1} < \varepsilon' + \frac{4}{n-1}. \tag{6.2}$$

Now (6.1) and (6.2) combined yield that whenever

$$\epsilon' < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \frac{4}{n-1} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n-1}{n+1}R' > R,$$

it follows that $a^{-1}\vartheta\xi' \in C(W, R)$, for all $\xi' \in V_n$. This means that $h \in C_i(W, V_n, R)$, and the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property is shown.

6.1.2. *The dual action of H is microlocally admissible.* In view of the previous subsection, it remains to verify condition 2.5(a). But this condition is implied by the identitiy

$$\left\|h^{-1}\right\| = \|(a\vartheta)^{-1}\| = \|a^{-1}\vartheta^{-1}\| = a^{-1} = \|a\vartheta\|^{-1} = \|h\|^{-1} \ ,$$

valid for *all* $h = a\vartheta \in H$.

By Lemma 4.7, this implies that there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the dual action of H is V_n-microlocally admissible for all $n \geq n_0$.

6.2. **The diagonal group.** The diagonal group is given by

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d} \ : \ \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_i \neq 0 \right\} \ .$$

The dual action of H has the single open orbit $(\mathbb{R}^*)^d = (\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})^d = H^T\,(1,\ldots,1)^T$ and acts on this orbit with trivial (hence compact) stabilizers.

To our knowledge, this group has not yet been investigated for its properties to characterize wavefront sets. We will show that it allows a multiple wavelet characterization, noting that again by Lemma 4.4, H does not fulfil the cone approximation property, and hence one cannot hope for single wavelet characterizations (at least not in the sense of Corollary 4.9, cf. Lemma 4.10).

6.2.1. *H fulfils the weak cone approximation property.* We verify the weak cone approximation property at $\xi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we let

$$U_\varepsilon = \left\{ \xi' \in S^{d-1} \,\middle|\, \forall i = 1, \dots, d : \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} < \sqrt{d}\xi'_i < (1+\varepsilon) \right\} \subset (0, \infty)^d .$$

Let $W \subset S^{d-1}$ denote a neighborhood of ξ_0, and let $R > 0$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $U_\varepsilon \subset W$.

We are going to establish the weak cone approximation property with respect to the sequence $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$V_n = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \,\middle|\, \frac{n}{n+1} < |\xi| < \frac{n+1}{n} \text{ and } \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in U_{1/n} \right\} \subset (0, \infty)^d ,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For this purpose, let $W' = U_{\varepsilon'}$, and fix $R' > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that these three parameters can be chosen in such a way that $K_o(W', V_n, R') \subset K_i(W, V, R)$ holds. To see this, let $h = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in K_o(W', V_n, R')$. This simply means that there exists some $\xi \in V_n$ with $h^{-T}\xi \in C(W', R')$. Let $\xi' \in V_n$ be arbitrary. We have to show that this implies $h^{-T}\xi' \in C(W, R)$ (for suitable values of W', R', n depending only on ε, R).

First note that $\xi \in V_n$ entails, via $\frac{n}{n+1} < |\xi| < \frac{n+1}{n}$ and $\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in U_{1/n}$, that

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, d : \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^2 < \xi_i < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 . \tag{6.3}$$

The same estimate also holds for ξ' instead of ξ.

Together with $h^{-T}\xi \in C(W', R')$, equation (6.3) implies

$$R' < \left| \left(a_1^{-1}\xi_1, \dots, a_d^{-1}\xi_d \right)^T \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \cdot \left| \left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1} \right)^T \right| .$$

In combination with (6.3) (for ξ' instead of ξ), this immediately yields

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' := \left| h^{-T}\xi' \right| &= \left| \left(a_1^{-1}\xi'_1, \dots, a_d^{-1}\xi'_d \right)^T \right| \\ &> \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^2 \cdot \left| \left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1} \right)^T \right| > \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^4 \cdot R' . \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Now let $\gamma := \left| h^{-T}\xi \right| = \left| \left(a_1^{-1}\xi_1, \dots, a_d^{-1}\xi_d \right) \right| > 0$. Using $h^{-T}\xi \in C(W', R')$, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot \left(a_1^{-1}\xi_1, \dots, a_d^{-1}\xi_d \right) = \frac{h^{-T}\xi}{|h^{-T}\xi|} \in W' = U_{\varepsilon'},$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon'} < \frac{\sqrt{d}a_i^{-1}\xi_i}{\gamma} < 1 + \varepsilon' \qquad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d\} . \tag{6.5}$$

Using this together with equation (6.3) (for ξ as well as ξ'), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^{-1}\xi_i' &= \frac{\sqrt{d}a_i^{-1}\xi_i}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{\xi_i'}{\xi_i} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}} \\ &< \left(1+\varepsilon'\right) \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(1+\varepsilon'\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^4}_{=:C_{\varepsilon',n}} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}}, \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$a_i^{-1}\xi_i' = \frac{\sqrt{d}a_i^{-1}\xi_i}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{\xi_i'}{\xi_i} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}} > \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon'} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}} = C_{\varepsilon',n}^{-1} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\varepsilon',n}^{-1} \cdot \gamma = C_{\varepsilon',n}^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^2} &< \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(a_i^{-1}\xi_i'\right)^2} = \gamma' \\ = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(a_i^{-1}\xi_i'\right)^2} &< C_{\varepsilon',n} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^2} = C_{\varepsilon',n} \cdot \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which – together with equations (6.5) and (6.3) (for ξ as well as ξ') – finally yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{d}\left(\frac{h^{-T}\xi'}{|h^{-T}\xi'|}\right)_i = \frac{\sqrt{d}a_i^{-1}\xi_i'}{\gamma'} &= \frac{\sqrt{d}a_i^{-1}\xi_i}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\gamma'} \cdot \frac{\xi_i'}{\xi_i} \\ &< \left(1+\varepsilon'\right) \cdot C_{\varepsilon',n} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2} \\ &= \left(1+\varepsilon'\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^8. \end{aligned}$$

A completely analogous computation also shows

$$\sqrt{d}\left(\frac{h^{-T}\xi'}{|h^{-T}\xi'|}\right)_i > \frac{1}{\left(1+\varepsilon'\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^8}.$$

Hence (cf. also equation (6.4)), we have $h^{-T}\xi' \in C(W,R)$ as soon as $\left(1+\varepsilon'\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^8 < 1+\varepsilon$ and $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^4 \cdot R' > R$ hold. But it is easy to see that this is true for suitable $n = n(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(\varepsilon)$ and $R' = R'(R)$.

As $h \in K_o(W', V_n, R')$ and $\xi' \in V_n$ were arbitrary, this shows that each $h \in K_o(W', V_n, R')$ maps V_n into $C(W,R)$. Hence the weak $\mathcal{V}$-cone approximation property holds.

6.2.2. *The dual action of H is microlocally admissible.* Since we already established the weak cone approximation property, Lemma 6.1 shows that it is sufficient to verify the estimate required in Definition 2.5(a) on the smaller set $K_i(W, V_n, R)$, for the fixed ξ_0-neighborhood $W := U_1$ and $R = 1$, but for *all* sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This will then yield V_n-microlocal admissibility for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

So let $h = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in K_i(W, V_n, R)$. Then the entries of h are necessarily positive, because of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)^T = h^{-T}\xi_0 \in h^{-T}V_n \subset C\left(W, R\right) \subset (0, \infty)^d .$$

This also implies

$$\frac{\left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)^T}{\left|\left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)^T\right|} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)^T}{\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)^T\right|} \in W = U_1,$$

which yields the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} < \frac{\sqrt{d} \cdot a_i^{-1}}{\left|\left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)\right|} < 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow &\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2 \cdot \left|\left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)\right|} < a_i < \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{\left|\left(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_d^{-1}\right)\right|}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we get

$$\frac{\max_i a_i}{\min_i a_i} \leq 4 ,$$

and thus

$$\left\|h^{-1}\right\| = \frac{1}{\min_i a_i} \leq \frac{4}{\max_i a_i} = 4 \cdot \|h\|^{-1} .$$

By Lemma 6.1, we conclude that the dual action of H is globally mocrolocally admissible for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

6.3. **The shearlet groups.** The shearlet transform in two dimensions was introduced in [20], specifically for the purpose of characterizing wavefront sets. The group-theoretical background of this transform was realized later in [5].

The higher-dimensional generalizations were introduced in [6], and further investigated (e.g.) in [7, 4]. In fact, there is a whole family of shearlet groups in dimension $d \geq 2$, parameterized by a vector of real exponents $(c_2, \dots, c_d)$, usually taken between 0 and 1, see the more detailed description below. It is the purpose of this subsection to establish both the microlocal admissibility and the (strong) cone approximation property for this class of groups, and thus to establish their suitability for the characterization of regular directed points using a *single* wavelet. For $d = 2$, it was shown in [20] (for the special case $c_2 = 1/2$) that the full wavefront set of a tempered distribution u could be characterized using the wavelet transform with respect to the dilation group H, together with the wavelet transform associated to a second dilation group, namely

$$H' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

An alternative treatment and proof of this result can be found in [16]. The reasoning employed in both sources was to decompose the frequency space into a horizontal and a vertical cone, and to use H for the characterization of horizontal directions, and H' for the vertical directions. Our results considerably extend these findings: They entail that in the case $d = 2$, the group H can be used to characterize all directions except $\pm(0, 1)^T$, and for a whole range of parameters

c_2. These observations could possibly be deduced by adapting the arguments in [20, 16], but were not noted there. For $d = 3$, the results obtained by Guo and Labate in [17] can be partly understood as wavefront set characterizations for certain classes of tempered distributions (with exponents $c_2 = c_3 = 1/2$). The characterization for general distributions seems to be missing so far, and for $d > 3$ we are not aware of a previous source containing even partial results.

The shearlet group H in dimension $d \geq 2$ is given by[6]:

$$H = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b_2 & \dots & b_d \\ & a^{c_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a^{c_d} \end{pmatrix} : a > 0 \, , b_2, \dots, b_d \in \mathbb{R} \right\} .$$

Here $(c_2, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is a vector of exponents $c_i \in (0, 1)$, which may be interpreted as anisotropy parameters. In view of Lemma 4.4, the exponents should not all be identically one. The group has a single open orbit

$$\mathcal{O} = H^T \cdot (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T = \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$$

and acts with trivial (hence compact) stabilizers.

Throughout this subsection, we will assume that the exponents $c_2, \dots, c_d$ lie strictly between 0 and 1; this condition will allow to establish both the cone approximation property and microlocal admissibility. In fact, the first-mentioned condition requires $c_i < 1$, whereas the second one (in addition) also needs $c_i > 0$. Note that the resulting characterization of the wavefront set is only valid for directions $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ which lie in $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, i.e. satisfying $\xi_1 \neq 0$. In order to capture the remaining directions, one may resort to the trick used in [20, 17] for dimensions two and three, and employ in addition modified shearlet transforms that are obtained by cyclically permuting the coordinates. Thus d shearlet transforms (associated to different coordinate shifts) suffice for a full characterization.

6.3.1. *H fulfils the (strong) cone approximation property.* We will establish the V_0-cone approximation property at $\xi_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ for $V_0 := (1, 2) \times B_1^{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(0)$ under the assumption $c := \max\{c_2, \dots, c_d\} < 1$. For this purpose, we introduce, for $R > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ the set

$$W_\epsilon = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)^T \in S^{d-1} \,\middle|\, |\xi_1 - 1| < \epsilon \right\} \subset S^{d-1} \cap \left((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \right) ,$$

with associated cone

$$C(W_\epsilon, R) = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)^T \in S^{d-1} \,\middle|\, \left| \frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|} - 1 \right| < \epsilon \, , |\xi| > R \right\} \subset (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} .$$

Observe that $\xi \in W_\epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ implies $\xi_1 > 1 - \epsilon > 0$ and hence

$$1 = |\xi|^2 \geq |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_i|^2 > (1 - \epsilon)^2 + |\xi_i|^2 ,$$

which yields $|\xi_i| < \sqrt{1 - (1 - \epsilon)^2} \underset{\epsilon \downarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$, so that the family $(W_\epsilon)_{0 < \epsilon < 1}$ is a neighborhood base of $\xi_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$.

We are going to employ the following criterion for containment in $C(W_\epsilon, R)$, which holds for $0 < \epsilon < 1$: Given $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with $v_1 > 0$, then

$$v \in C(W_\epsilon, R) \iff |v| > R \text{ and } \frac{|(v_2, \dots, v_d)^T|}{v_1} < \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon - \epsilon^2}}{1 - \epsilon} . \tag{6.6}$$

To see this, we clearly only need to prove equivalence of $v \in C(W_\varepsilon)$ to the last condition given in equation (6.6). As both of these conditions are invariant under rescaling with positive scalars,

we may assume $|v| = 1$. This implies $\left|(v_2, \dots, v_d)^T\right| = \sqrt{1 - v_1^2}$, so that the last condition in equation (6.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{1-v_1^2}}{v_1} < \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon - \epsilon^2}}{1-\epsilon} \quad &\overset{\epsilon<1,\, v_1>0}{\iff} \quad (1-\epsilon)\sqrt{1-v_1^2} < v_1 \cdot \sqrt{2\epsilon - \epsilon^2} \\
&\overset{\epsilon<1,\, v_1>0}{\iff} \quad (1-\epsilon)^2 \cdot \left(1 - v_1^2\right) < v_1^2 \cdot \left(2\epsilon - \epsilon^2\right) \\
&\iff \quad (1-\epsilon)^2 < v_1^2 \cdot \left(2\epsilon - \epsilon^2 + (1-\epsilon)^2\right) \\
&\iff \quad (1-\epsilon)^2 < v_1^2 \\
&\overset{\epsilon<1,\, v_1>0}{\iff} \quad 1 - \epsilon < v_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $1 - v_1 < \epsilon$. But because of $v_1 \leq |v| = 1$, this is equivalent to $|1 - v_1| < \epsilon$, i.e. to $v \in W_\epsilon$. Because of $|v| = 1$, this is equivalent to $v \in C\left(W_\epsilon\right)$.

To establish the V_0-cone approximation property, it suffices (thanks to Remark 2.4 and the fact that $(W_\epsilon)_{0<\epsilon<1}$ is a neighborhood base of ξ_0) to prove, for any given $\epsilon, R > 0$, the existence of $\epsilon', R' > 0$ with $K_o(W_{\epsilon'}, V_0, R') \subset K_i(W_\epsilon, V_0, R)$. To this end, we consider arbitrary $\epsilon' < 1/2$ and $R' > 4$, and derive estimates for the entries of $h \in K_o(W_{\epsilon'}, V_0, R')$, which will allow us to prove the desired inclusion under suitable conditions on ϵ', R' (depending only on ϵ, R).

So assume that $h \in K_o(W_{\epsilon'}, V_0, R')$ is given, where

$$h = \pm h(a,b) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b_2 & \dots & b_d \\ & a^{c_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a^{c_d} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.7}$$

with $a > 0$ and $b = (b_2, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. The inverse transpose oh h is computed as

$$h^{-T} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & & & \\ -a^{-1-c_2} b_2 & a^{-c_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ -a^{-1-c_d} b_d & & & a^{-c_d} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{6.8}$$

The assumption $h \in K_o(W_{\epsilon'}, V_0, R')$ yields the existence of $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in V_0 \subset (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that

$$h^{-T}\xi = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}\xi_1 \\ \xi' \end{pmatrix} \in C\left(W_{\varepsilon'}, R'\right) \subset (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1},$$

with $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ given by

$$\xi' = \begin{pmatrix} -b_2 a^{-1-c_2}\xi_1 + a^{-c_2}\xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ -b_d a^{-1-c_d}\xi_1 + a^{-c_d}\xi_d \end{pmatrix} .$$

Because of $\pm a^{-1}\xi_1 > 0$ and $\xi_1 > 0$, as well as $a > 0$, we can rule out the negative sign for the "$\pm$". Furthermore, the assumption $h^{-T}\xi \in C(W_{\epsilon'}, R')$ entails

$$\frac{a^{-1}\xi_1}{|h^{-T}\xi|} > 1 - \epsilon' . \tag{6.9}$$

This, combined with $|h^{-T}\xi| > R'$, $0 < \epsilon' < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\xi_1 \in (1,2)$ as well as $R' > 4$, yields

$$a^{-1} > \frac{1-\epsilon'}{2} R' > \frac{R'}{4} > 1 , \tag{6.10}$$

and hence $0 < a < 1$.

On the other hand, an application of equation (6.6) (noting that $\left(h^{-T}\xi\right)_1 = a^{-1}\xi_1 > 0$ and $h^{-T}\xi \in C\left(W_{\epsilon'}, R'\right)$), together with $\xi_1 \in (1,2)$ implies

$$|\xi'| < a^{-1}\xi_1 \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon' - (\epsilon')^2}}{1-\epsilon'} < a^{-1}\frac{2\sqrt{2\epsilon' - (\epsilon')^2}}{1-\epsilon'} \,. \tag{6.11}$$

These inequalities can be combined to obtain a useful estimate involving the shearing vector $(b_2, \dots, b_{d-1})^T$: We recall $c = \max\{c_2, \dots, c_d\} < 1$, and get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| a \begin{pmatrix} b_2 a^{-1-c_2} \\ \vdots \\ b_d a^{-1-c_d} \end{pmatrix} \right| &= \left| \frac{a}{\xi_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 a^{-1-c_2}\xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_d a^{-1-c_d}\xi_1 \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{a}{\xi_1}\xi' \right| + \left| \frac{a}{\xi_1} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-c_2}\xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ a^{-c_d}\xi_d \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
&\leq a|\xi'| + a^{1-c} \left| \begin{pmatrix} \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_d \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\epsilon' - (\epsilon')^2}}{1-\epsilon'} + a^{1-c} \,. \qquad (6.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the penultimate inequality used $\xi_1 > 1$ and $a \leq 1$ as well as $c < 1$, and the last inequality used $|(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)^T| < 1$ by definition of V_0, as well as equation (6.11).

Now let $\widetilde{\xi} = (\widetilde{\xi_1}, \widetilde{\xi_2}, \dots, \widetilde{\xi_d})^T \in V_0 = (1,2) \times B_1^{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(0)$ be arbitrary. Then

$$h^{-T}\widetilde{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}\widetilde{\xi_1} \\ \xi'' \end{pmatrix} \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \,,$$

with

$$\xi'' = \begin{pmatrix} -b_2 a^{-1-c_2}\widetilde{\xi_1} + a^{-c_2}\widetilde{\xi_2} \\ \vdots \\ -b_d a^{-1-c_d}\widetilde{\xi_1} + a^{-c_d}\widetilde{\xi_d} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \,.$$

We first observe that choosing $R' > \max\{4, 4R\}$ ensures, via equation (6.10), that

$$R < \frac{R'}{4} < a^{-1} < a^{-1}\widetilde{\xi_1} < \left| h^{-T}\widetilde{\xi} \right| .$$

In order to apply criterion (6.6), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left| \left(\left(h^{-T}\widetilde{\xi}\right)_2, \dots, \left(h^{-T}\widetilde{\xi}\right)_d \right) \right|}{\left(h^{-T}\widetilde{\xi}\right)_1} = \frac{|\xi''|}{a^{-1}\widetilde{\xi_1}} &\leq \frac{a}{\widetilde{\xi_1}} \left| \begin{pmatrix} -b_2 a^{-1-c_2}\widetilde{\xi_1} \\ \vdots \\ -b_d a^{-1-c_d}\widetilde{\xi_1} \end{pmatrix} \right| + \frac{a}{\widetilde{\xi_1}} \left| \begin{pmatrix} a^{-c_2}\widetilde{\xi_2} \\ \vdots \\ a^{-c_d}\widetilde{\xi_d} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\epsilon' - (\epsilon')^2}}{1-\epsilon'} + 2 \cdot a^{1-c} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality employed (6.12), together with $1 < \widetilde{\xi}_1 < 2$ and $|(\widetilde{\xi}_2, \dots, \widetilde{\xi}_d)^T| < 1$ by definition of V_0. Observe that equation (6.10), together with $c - 1 < 0$ implies

$$a^{1-c} = \left(a^{-1}\right)^{c-1} < \left(\frac{R'}{4}\right)^{c-1} = 4^{1-c} \cdot \left(R'\right)^{c-1} .$$

Thus, by invoking criterion (6.6), we see that choosing $R' > \max\{4, 4R\}$ sufficiently large and $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small to fulfil

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2\epsilon' - (\epsilon')^2}}{1-\epsilon'} + 2 \cdot 4^{1-c} \cdot \left(R'\right)^{c-1} < \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon - \epsilon^2}}{1-\epsilon}$$

ensures $h^{-T}\widetilde{\xi} \in C(W_\epsilon, R)$ for all $\widetilde{\xi} \in V_0$ and all $h \in K_o(W_{\epsilon'}, V_0, R')$. (Observe that $c < 1$ allows such a choice of R'.) Thus, the global V_0-cone approximation property is established (cf. Lemma 4.5).

6.3.2. *The dual action of H is V_0-microlocally admissible.* Here, we impose the additional assumption $c' := \min\{c_2, \dots, c_d\} > 0$. By Lemma 6.1, the fact that we already established the V_0-cone approximation property allows us to verify condition 2.5 (a) on the smaller set K_i instead of K_o. Thus, it suffices to show that there exist $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\|h^{-1}\right\| \leq C \cdot \|h\|^{-\alpha_1}$$

holds for all $h = \pm h(a,b) \in K_i(W_\epsilon, V_0, R)$, for some $\epsilon < 1/2$ and $R > 4$. Here we use the notation of the previous subsection. Our choice of ϵ and R then entails $a < 1$ (cf. equation (6.10)) and rules out the negative sign for the "$\pm$".

Let $v_0 := (3/2, 0, \dots, 0) \in V_0$. Then $h^{-T}v_0 \in C(W_\epsilon, R)$ because of $h \in K_i(W_\epsilon, V_0, R)$. We recall

$$(h(a,b))^{-1} = h\left(a^{-1}, (-a^{-1-c_2}b_2, \dots, -a^{-1-c_d}b_d)\right)$$

and thus

$$h^{-T}v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2}a^{-1} \\ -\frac{3}{2}b_2 a^{-1-c_2} \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{3}{2}b_d a^{-1-c_d} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Now equation (6.6) (together with $\left(h^{-T}v_0\right)_1 = \frac{3}{2}a^{-1} > 0$ and $h^{-T}v_0 \in C\left(W_\epsilon, R\right)$) yields the estimate

$$C_1 := \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon - \epsilon^2}}{1-\epsilon} > \frac{\left|(b_2 a^{-1-c_2}, \dots, b_d a^{-1-c_d})^T\right|}{a^{-1}} \geq a^{-c'}\left|(b_2, \dots, b_d)^T\right| \tag{6.13}$$

where we used $c' = \min\{c_2, \dots, c_d\} > 0$ and $a < 1$. Now the fact that all matrix norms are equivalent allows us to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|(h(a,b))^{-1}\right\| &\leq C \cdot \max\left\{a^{-1}, \left|\left(b_2 a^{-1-c_2}, \dots, b_d a^{-1-c_d}\right)^T\right|, \left|\left(a^{-c_2}, \dots, a^{-c_d}\right)^T\right|\right\} \\ &\leq C' \cdot a^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using $0 < c' \leq c_i \leq c < 1$ and $0 < a < 1$, we have by (6.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(a,b)\| &\leq C' \cdot \max\left\{a, \left|(b_2, \dots, b_d)^T\right|, \left|(a^{c_2}, \dots, a^{c_d})^T\right|\right\} \\ &\leq C' \cdot \max\left\{a, a^{c'}\right\} = C' \cdot a^{c'} . \end{aligned}$$

This finally leads to

$$\left\|h^{-1}\right\| \leq C'' \cdot \|h\|^{-1/c'},$$

which is the desired inequality.

Concluding remarks

Microlocal admissibility is a nontrivial condition on the dilation group, as can be seen by the example provided by the shearlet dilation group for $c' = \min\{c_2, \ldots, c_d\} < 0$.

It is easy to see that the various conditions studied in this paper are preserved under conjugation: Whenever the pair $H, \mathcal{O}$ fulfils our technical assumptions 2.1, and $H' = gHg^{-1}$ is given, then $H', g^{-T}\mathcal{O}$ also fulfil the assumptions. Moreover, one easily verifies that microlocal admissibility and the (weak) cone approximation property are also preserved under conjugation. Together with a classification result from [9], this shows that the list of examples studied in Section 6 exhausts all possible dilation groups with open orbits and compact stabilizers that can arise in dimension two.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded partly by the Excellence Initiative of the German federal and state governments, and by DFG, under the contract FU 402/5-1.

References

[1] S. Alinhac and P. Gérard. *Pseudo-differential Operators and the Nash-Moser Theorem*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
[2] D. Bernier and K. F. Taylor. Wavelets from square-integrable representations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 27(2):594–608, 1996.
[3] E. J. Candès and D. L. Donoho. Continuous curvelet transform. I. Resolution of the wavefront set. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 19(2):162–197, 2005.
[4] W. Czaja and E. J. King. Isotropic shearlet analogs for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and localization operators. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 33(7-9):872–905, 2012.
[5] S. Dahlke, G. Kutyniok, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Shearlet coorbit spaces and associated Banach frames. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 27(2):195–214, 2009.
[6] S. Dahlke, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. The continuous shearlet transform in arbitrary space dimensions. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 16(3):340–364, 2010.
[7] S. Dahlke, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Multivariate shearlet transform, shearlet coorbit spaces and their structural properties. In *Shearlets*, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., pages 105–144. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012.
[8] H. Führ. Wavelet frames and admissibility in higher dimensions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 37(12):6353–6366, 1996.
[9] H. Führ. Continuous wavelets transforms from semidirect products. *Cienc. Mat. (Havana)*, 18(2):179–190, 2000.
[10] H. Führ. *Abstract harmonic analysis of continuous wavelet transforms*, volume 1863 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
[11] H. Führ. Generalized Calderón conditions and regular orbit spaces. *Colloq. Math.*, 120(1):103–126, 2010.
[12] H. Führ. Vanishing moment conditions for wavelet atoms in higher dimensions. Preprint available under http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.2196, 2013.
[13] H. Führ. Coorbit spaces and wavelet coefficient decay over general dilation groups. To appear in Trans. AMS, DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-06376-9, 2014.
[14] H. Führ and M. Mayer. Continuous wavelet transforms from semidirect products: cyclic representations and Plancherel measure. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 8(4):375–397, 2002.
[15] H. Führ and F. Voigtlaender. Coorbit spaces viewed as decomposition spaces. Preprint available under http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.4298, 2014.
[16] P. Grohs. Continuous shearlet frames and resolution of the wavefront set. *Monatsh. Math.*, 164(4):393–426, 2011.

[17] K. Guo and D. Labate. Characterization of piecewise-smooth surfaces using the 3D continuous shearlet transform. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 18(3):488–516, 2012.
[18] M. Holschneider. *Wavelets*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. An analysis tool, Oxford Science Publications.
[19] L. Hörmander. Fourier integral operators. I. *Acta Math.*, 127(1-2):79–183, 1971.
[20] G. Kutyniok and D. Labate. Resolution of the wavefront set using continuous shearlets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(5):2719–2754, 2009.
[21] R. S. Laugesen, N. Weaver, G. L. Weiss, and E. N. Wilson. A characterization of the higher dimensional groups associated with continuous wavelets. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 12(1):89–102, 2002.
[22] S. Moritoh. Wavelet transforms in Euclidean spaces—their relation with wave front sets and Besov, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 47(4):555–565, 1995.
[23] R. Murenzi. Wavelet transforms associated to the n-dimensional Euclidean group with dilations: signal in more than one dimension. In *Wavelets (Marseille, 1987)*, Inverse Probl. Theoret. Imaging, pages 239–246. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
[24] S. Pilipović and M. Vuletić. Characterization of wave front sets by wavelet transforms. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 58(3):369–391, 2006.
[25] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International series in pure and applied mathematics. McGraw-Hill, 1991.
[26] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.

J. Fell, RWTH Aachen University, Lehrstuhl C für Mathematik (Analysis), Pontdriesch 10, D-52062 Aachen, Germany

H. Führ, F. Voigtlaender, Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany